



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF SCIENCE FRONTIER RESEARCH: F
MATHEMATICS AND DECISION SCIENCES
Volume 19 Issue 1 Version 1.0 Year 2019
Type : Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals
Online ISSN: 2249-4626 & Print ISSN: 0975-5896

A New Construction of the Degree of Maximal Monotone Maps

By Mohammad Niksirat

University of Alberta

Abstract- The inclusion equations of the type $f \in T(x)$ where $T : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ is a maximal monotone map, are extensively studied in nonlinear analysis. In this paper, we present a new construction of the degree of maximal monotone maps of the form $T: Y \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$, where $Y \subset X$ is a locally uniformly convex and separable Banach space continuously embedded in X . The advantage of the new construction lies in the remarkable simplicity it offers for calculation of degree in comparison with the classical one suggested by F. Browder. We prove a few classical theorems in convex analysis through the suggested degree.

Keywords: degree theory, finite rank approximation, maximal monotone maps, multivalued maps.

GJSFR-F Classification: MSC 2010: 34C12



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:





A New Construction of the Degree of Maximal Monotone Maps

Mohammad Niksirat

Abstract- The inclusion equations of the type $f \in T(x)$ where $T: X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ is a maximal monotone map, are extensively studied in nonlinear analysis. In this paper, we present a new construction of the degree of maximal monotone maps of the form $T: Y \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$, where $Y \subset X$ is a locally uniformly convex and separable Banach space continuously embedded in X . The advantage of the new construction lies in the remarkable simplicity it offers for calculation of degree in comparison with the classical one suggested by F. Browder. We prove a few classical theorems in convex analysis through the suggested degree.

Keywords: degree theory, finite rank approximation, maximal monotone maps, multivalued maps.

I. INTRODUCTION

Assume that X and Y are separable and reflexive Banach spaces equipped with uniformly convex norms, and $i: Y \rightarrow X$ is the continuous embedding. Furthermore, assume that $T: Y \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ is a maximal monotone map with the effective domain $D(T) = Y$ in the following sense. A pair (\tilde{y}, \tilde{x}^*) is in the graph of T if the condition $\langle x^* - \tilde{x}^*, i(y - \tilde{y}) \rangle \geq 0$ holds for all $(y, x^*) \in \text{graph}(T)$. We construct a degree for T . The construction generalizes the F. Browder's classical degree of maximal monotone maps [1]. The construction of the Browder's degree is as follows. Assume that X is a separable reflexive Banach space equipped with a uniformly convex norm, and $T: X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ a maximal monotone map. The map $T_\epsilon = T + \epsilon J$, where $\epsilon > 0$ and $J: X \rightarrow X^*$ is the duality mapping possesses the following properties:

- (1) T_ϵ is a map of class $(S)_+$, that is, if $x_n \rightarrow x$ in X , there is $x_n^* \in T(x_n)$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n^* + \epsilon J(x_n), x_n - x \rangle \leq 0,$$

then $x_n \rightarrow x$.

- (2) The map T_ϵ is onto X^* ,
- (3) if $x_1 \neq x_2$, the sets $T(x_1), T(x_2)$ are disjoint, that is,

$$T(x_1) \cap T(x_2) = \emptyset.$$

- (4) The map $T_\epsilon^{-1}: X^* \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ is well defined and continuous.

Note that J is single valued, bijective and bi-continuous if X is uniformly convex. It is shown that the map $(T_\epsilon^{-1} + \epsilon J^{-1})^{-1}: X \rightarrow X^*$ is demi-continuous and $(S)_+$ for which a degree theory has been developed by F. Browder. The degree of T in the open bounded set $D \subset X$ at 0 is defined by the following relation

$$\deg(T, D, 0) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \deg((T_\epsilon^{-1} + \epsilon J^{-1})^{-1}, D, 0). \quad (1.1)$$

The degree suggested in this article generalizes the Browder’s degree. In particular if $Y = X$, two degrees are the same. Another advantage of the suggested degree is the direct use of finite rank approximation we employed in our previous work [2]. This method make the calculations much easier than the formula (1.1). It should be noted that the suggested degree is different from the degree of the map $i^* \circ T : Y \rightarrow 2^{Y^*}$. The difference between two formulations is discussed in [2] for single valued maps.

Definition 1.1. Assume X_1 and X_2 are Banach spaces. A map $A : X_1 \rightarrow 2^{X_2}$ is called upper semi-continuous at $x \in X_1$ if for every neighborhood V of $A(x)$, there exists an open neighborhood U of x such that $T(U) \subset V$.

We have the following theorem for the upper semi-continuous multi-valued mappings; see for example [3, 4].

Theorem 1.2. (ϵ -continuous subgraph) Assume that X_1 and X_2 are Banach spaces, and the map $A : X_1 \rightarrow 2^{X_2}$ is upper semi-continuous. If $A(x)$ is closed and convex for all $x \in X_1$, then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a continuous single valued function $A_\epsilon : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ such that for any $x \in X_1$, there exists $z_1 \in X_1$ and $\tilde{z}_2 \in A(z_1)$ such that $\|x - z_1\| < \epsilon$ and $\|A_\epsilon(x) - \tilde{z}_2\| < \epsilon$.

Proposition 1.4. Let X, Y be Banach spaces, $i : Y \rightarrow X$ a continuous embedding and $T : Y \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ a maximal monotone map with the effective domain Y . Then $T(y)$ is closed and convex for all $y \in Y$, and T is norm to weak-star upper semi-continuous in the following sense. For arbitrary $y \in Y$, and arbitrary sequence (y_n) converges to y in norm, there is a weakly limit point x^* of $\cup_n T(y_n)$ such that $x^* \in T(y)$.

The proof is completely similar to one for the map $T : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$. For a proof of the standard version see for example [5].

If Y is a separable and reflexive Banach space equipped with a uniformly convex norm, a theorem by Browder and Ton [6] guarantees the existence of a separable Hilbert space H such that the embedding $j : H \hookrightarrow Y$ is dense and compact. Choosing an orthogonal basis $\{h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ for H , we obtain the basis $\mathcal{Y} = \{y^1, y^2, \dots, y^n, \dots\}$ for Y where $y^k = j(h_k)$, and accordingly, the filtration $\mathbb{Y} = \{Y_n\}$, where $Y_n = \text{span}\{y^1, \dots, y^n\}$. The following proposition is simply verified.

Proposition 1.4. For any $y \in Y$, there is a sequence $(y_n), y_n \in Y_n$ such that $y_n \rightarrow y$.

The pairing in Y_n is denoted by $(,)$ and is defined by the relation $(y^i, y^j) = \delta_{ij}$ for all $y^i, y^j \in \mathcal{Y}$. We define the maximal monotone operator $T : Y \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ in the following sense.

Definition 1.5. Suppose X and Y are separable and reflexive Banach spaces equipped with uniformly convex norm, and assume that $T : Y \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ is a maximal monotone map. The finite rank approximation of arbitrary $x^* \in T(y)$ in $Y_n \in \mathbb{Y}$, is defined by $\hat{x}_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x^*, i(y^k) \rangle y^k$. Accordingly, the finite rank map $T_n : Y \rightarrow 2^{Y_n}$ is defined by the relation

$$T_n(y) = \bigcup_{x^* \in T(y)} \hat{x}_n. \tag{1.2}$$

For any $x^* \in X^*$ and $y \in Y_n$, we have the property

$$(\hat{x}_n, y) = \langle x^*, i(y) \rangle,$$

where \langle, \rangle is the pairing between X^*, X . In fact, if $x^* \in X^*$, then for $\hat{x}_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x^*, y^k \rangle y^k$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \langle x^*, i(y^k) \rangle (y^k, y) = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x^*, i((y^k, y)y^k) \rangle = \left\langle x^*, i \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (y^k, y)y^k \right) \right\rangle,$$

and thus $\langle x^*, i(y) \rangle = (\hat{x}_n, y)$.

Lemma 1.6. *The finite rank approximation T_n is upper semi-continuous and for every $x \in Y$, the set $T_n(x)$ is closed and convex.*

Proof. Fix n and $\epsilon > 0$. If T_n is not upper semi-continuous at $x \in Y$, there is a sequence $(\delta_m), \delta_m \rightarrow 0$ and $x_m \in B_{\delta_m}(x)$ such that for some $\hat{x}_{n,m} \in T_n(x_m)$, we have $\hat{x}_{n,m} \notin V_\epsilon(T_n(x))$. T is maximal monotone, and thus locally bounded. Therefore, there is a subsequence (shown for the sake of simplicity again by $\hat{x}_{n,m}$) such that $\hat{x}_{n,m} \rightarrow \hat{x}$. We show $\hat{x} \in T_n(x)$. Since $\hat{x}_{n,m} \in T_n(x_m)$, there is $x_m^* \in T(x_m)$ such that $\hat{x}_{n,m}$ are the finite rank approximation in Y_n of x_m^* , that is,

$$\hat{x}_{n,m} = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x_m^*, i(y^k) \rangle y^k.$$

Since T is norm to weak-star upper semi-continuous, and $x_m \rightarrow x$, we have $x_m^* \rightharpoonup x^*$ for some $x^* \in T(x)$. Thus $\langle x_m^*, i(y^k) \rangle \rightarrow \langle x^*, i(y^k) \rangle$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$. Therefore

$$\hat{x}_{n,m} = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x_m^*, i(y^k) \rangle y^k \rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x^*, i(y^k) \rangle y^k \in T_n(x),$$

and therefore $\hat{x} \in T_n(x)$. Now we show that $T_n(x)$ is closed for all $x \in Y$. Consider an arbitrary sequence $\hat{x}_m \in T_n(x)$, and $\hat{x}_m \rightarrow \hat{x}$. Let $x_m^* \in T(y)$ be the sequence such that $\hat{x}_m = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x_m^*, i(y^k) \rangle y^k$. Since $T(y)$ is bounded and convex, the sequence x_m^* converges weakly (in a subsequence) to some $x^* \in T(x)$ and thus

$$\hat{x}_m = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x_m^*, i(y^k) \rangle y^k \rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x^*, i(y^k) \rangle y^k,$$

and thus

$$\hat{x} = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x^*, i(y^k) \rangle y^k \in T_n(x).$$

That $T_n(x)$ is convex follows simply from the convexity of $T(x)$.

By the Lemma (1.6) and Theorem (1.2), the ϵ -continuous selection $T_{n,\epsilon}$ of T_n is well defined. The single valued map $T_{n,\epsilon}$ is continuous and for any $x \in Y_n$, there is $z \in Y_n$ and $\hat{z} \in T_n(z)$ such that $\|z - x\| \in \epsilon$ and $\|\hat{z} - T_{n,\epsilon}(x)\| < \epsilon$.

II. DEGREE DEFINITION

Let (ϵ_n) be a positive sequence such that $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Consider the function $\tilde{T}_{n,\epsilon_n} : Y_n \rightarrow Y_n$ defined by the relation

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{T}_{n,\epsilon_n} = T_{n,\epsilon_n} + \epsilon J_n,$$

where T_{n,ϵ_n} is the ϵ_n -continuous selection of T_n and J_n is the finite rank approximation of $J \circ i : Y \rightarrow X^*$ in Y_n where $J : X \rightarrow X^*$ is the bi-continuous duality map.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $D \subset Y$ be an open bounded set and assume that for some $\epsilon > 0$, we have $0 \notin \text{cl}T^\epsilon(\partial D)$, where $T^\epsilon = T + \epsilon J \circ i$. Then there is $N > 0$ such that $0 \notin \tilde{T}_{n,\epsilon_n}(\partial D_n)$ for all $n \geq N$ where $D_n = D \cap Y_n$.*

Proof. Otherwise, there is a sequence $z_n \in \partial D_n$ such that $\tilde{T}_{n,\epsilon_n}(z_n) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. Since ∂D is bounded, there is a subsequence (we show again by z_n) that weakly converges to z . We first show that z_n converges strongly to z . Choose a sequence $\zeta_n \in Y_n$ that converges to z in norm. Since $\tilde{T}_{n,\epsilon_n}(z_n) = 0$ on Y_n , we have

$$(T_{n,\epsilon_n}(z_n), z_n - \zeta_n) + \epsilon(J_n(z_n), z_n - \zeta_n) = 0,$$

because $z_n - \zeta_n \in Y_n$. By the relation

$$(J_n(z_n), z_n - \zeta_n) = \langle J \circ i(z_n), i(z_n - \zeta_n) \rangle,$$

we can write

$$(T_{n,\epsilon_n}(z_n), z_n - \zeta_n) + \epsilon \langle J \circ i(z_n), i(z_n - \zeta_n) \rangle = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

On the other hand, for each z_n , there is $x_n \in Y_n$ and $\hat{x}_n \in T_n(x_n)$ such that

$$\|x_n - z_n\| < \epsilon_n, \|\hat{x}_n - T_{n,\epsilon_n}(z_n)\| < \epsilon_n.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(T_{n,\epsilon_n}(z_n), z_n - \zeta_n) = (T_{n,\epsilon_n}(z_n) - \hat{x}_n, z_n - \zeta_n) + (\hat{x}_n, z_n - \zeta_n),$$

and by the relation $\|T_{n,\epsilon_n}(z_n) - \hat{x}_n\| < \epsilon_n$, we obtain

$$(T_{n,\epsilon_n}(z_n), z_n - \zeta_n) \geq -\epsilon_n \|z_n - \zeta_n\| + (\hat{x}_n, z_n - \zeta_n)$$

By the relation $\|x_n - z_n\| < \epsilon_n$, we have

$$(\hat{x}_n, z_n - \zeta_n) \geq -\epsilon_n \|\hat{x}_n\| + (\hat{x}_n, x_n - \zeta_n).$$

Since $\hat{x}_n \in T_n(x)$, there are $x_n^* \in T(x_n)$ such that \hat{x}_n are the finite rank approximations of x_n^* in Y_n . Thus, we can write

$$(\hat{x}_n, x_n - \zeta_n) = \langle x_n^*, i(x_n - \zeta_n) \rangle.$$

Also, for some $C > 0$, we can write

$$\langle x_n^*, i(x_n - \zeta_n) \rangle \geq -C \|x_n^*\| \|z - \zeta_n\| + \langle x_n^*, i(x_n - z) \rangle.$$

Choose an arbitrary $z^* \in T(z)$. We have

$$\langle x_n^*, i(x_n - z) \rangle \geq \langle x_n^* - z^*, i(x_n - z) \rangle + \langle z^*, i(x_n - z) \rangle \geq \langle z^*, i(x_n - z) \rangle.$$

Since $z_n \rightarrow z$ and $\|x_n - z_n\| \rightarrow 0$, we conclude

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (T_{n,\epsilon_n}(z_n), z_n - \zeta_n) \geq 0.$$

Thus, the relation (2.2) implies

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle J \circ i(z_n), i(z_n - \zeta_n) \rangle \leq 0.$$

By the relation $\zeta_n \rightarrow z$, we conclude

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle J \circ i(z_n), i(z_n - \zeta_n) \rangle \leq 0,$$

and since J is a map of class $(S)_+$, we obtain $z_n \rightarrow z \in \partial D$. Now we show $0 \in \text{cl}T^\epsilon(z)$. Choose arbitrary $y \in Y$ and sequence $y_n \in Y_n, y_n \rightarrow y$. We have

$$(T_{n,\epsilon_n}(z_n), y_n) + \epsilon \langle J \circ i(z_n), i(y_n) \rangle = 0.$$

Since J is continuous, we have $\langle J \circ i(z_n), i(y_n) \rangle \rightarrow \langle J \circ i(z), i(y) \rangle$. Choose $x_n \in Y_n$ and $\hat{x}_n \in T_n(x_n)$ such that

$$\|x_n - z_n\| < \epsilon_n, \|\hat{x}_n - T_{n,\epsilon_n}(z_n)\| < \epsilon_n.$$

We have

$$\lim |(T_{n,\epsilon_n}(z_n), y_n) - (\hat{x}_n, y_n)| = 0.$$

For $x_n^* \in T(x_n)$, and by the relation $y_n \rightarrow y$, we obtain

$$\lim |(T_{n,\epsilon_n}(z_n), y_n) - \langle x_n^*, i(y) \rangle| = 0.$$

Since T is norm to weak-star upper semi-continuous, we conclude $\langle x_n^*, i(y) \rangle \rightarrow \langle x^*, i(y) \rangle$ for some $x^* \in T(z)$. This implies that $x^* + \epsilon J \circ i(z) = 0$ and thus $0 \in \text{cl}T^\epsilon(z)$ that contradicts the condition $0 \notin \text{cl}T^\epsilon(\partial D)$.

Proposition 2.2. Assume that $0 \notin \text{cl}T(\partial D)$. Then there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that $0 \notin \text{cl}T^\epsilon(\partial D)$.

Proof. By the assumption, there is $r > 0$ such that $\text{dist}(0, \text{cl}T(\partial D)) = r$. Let $z \in \partial D$ is arbitrary. Take arbitrary $z^* \in T(z)$. We have

$$\|z^* + \epsilon J(z)\| \geq \|z^*\| - \epsilon\|z\| \geq r - \epsilon\|z\|.$$

Therefore $0 \notin \text{cl}T^\epsilon(\partial D)$ if

$$0 < \epsilon < \frac{r}{\max_{z \in \partial D} \|z\|}. \tag{2.3}$$

The boundedness of ∂D guarantees the existence of $\epsilon > 0$.

Definition 2.3. Assume that X and Y are separable and reflexive Banach spaces equipped with uniformly convex norms, $D \subset Y$ is an open bounded set and $T : Y \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ is a maximal monotone map such that $0 \notin \text{cl}T(\partial D)$. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ satisfying (2.3) and consider the map \tilde{T}_{n,ϵ_n} defined in (2.1). The degree of T in D with respect to 0 is defined by the following formula

$$\text{deg}(T, D, 0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{deg}_B(\tilde{T}_{n,\epsilon_n}, D_n, 0), \tag{2.4}$$

where deg_B is the usual Brouwer's degree of the map \tilde{T}_{n,ϵ_n} .

The degree defined in the relation (2.4) is stable with respect to n .

Proof. Consider the sequence of mappings $(\tilde{T}_{k,\epsilon_k})$ such that for sufficiently large n the condition $0 \notin \text{cl}\tilde{T}_{k,\epsilon_k}(\partial D_k)$ is satisfied for $k \geq n - 1$. First note that there is $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $0 < \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 < \epsilon_0$, the following relation holds

$$\text{deg}_B(\tilde{T}_{n,\epsilon_1}, D_n, 0) = \text{deg}_B(\tilde{T}_{n,\epsilon_2}, D_n, 0), \tag{2.5}$$

In fact, for any $x \in Y_n$, there is $z_1, z_2 \in Y_n$ and $\hat{z}_1 \in T_n(z_1)$, $\hat{z}_2 \in T_n(z_2)$ such that

$$\|z_1 - x\| < \epsilon_1, \|\hat{z}_1 - T_{n,\epsilon_1}(x)\| < \epsilon_1, \|z_2 - x\| < \epsilon_2, \|\hat{z}_2 - T_{n,\epsilon_2}(x)\| < \epsilon_2.$$

The continuity of $T_{n,\epsilon_1}, T_{n,\epsilon_2}$ implies that $\|\tilde{T}_{n,\epsilon_1} - \tilde{T}_{n,\epsilon_2}\|$ can be controlled and thus (2.5) holds. Let us write \tilde{T}_{n,ϵ_n} as

$$\tilde{T}_{n,\epsilon_n} = \tilde{T}_{n,\epsilon_n}^1 + \tilde{T}_{n,\epsilon_n}^2,$$

where $\tilde{T}_{n,\epsilon_n}^1$ is the projection of \tilde{T}_{n,ϵ_n} into Y_{n-1} and $\tilde{T}_{n,\epsilon_n}^2$ is the projection into $\{y^n\}$. Define the map $S_{n,\epsilon_n} : Y_n \rightarrow 2^{Y_n}$ as

$$S_{n,\epsilon_n}(x) = \tilde{T}_{n,\epsilon_n}^1(x) + (x, y^n)y^n$$

Obviously, we have

$$\text{deg}_B(S_{n,\epsilon_n}, D_n, 0) = \text{deg}_B(\tilde{T}_{n,\epsilon_n}^1, D_{n-1}, 0). \tag{2.6}$$

First we show

$$\text{deg}_B(\tilde{T}_{n,\epsilon_n}^1, D_{n-1}, 0) = \text{deg}_B(\tilde{T}_{n-1,\epsilon_n}, D_{n-1}, 0) = \text{deg}_B(\tilde{T}_{n-1,\epsilon_{n-1}}, D_{n-1}, 0). \tag{2.7}$$

The last equality follows from (2.5). In order to prove the first equality, we note that if T_{n,ϵ_n} is an ϵ_n -continuous selection of T_n , then T_{n,ϵ_n}^1 is also an ϵ_n -continuous selection of T_{n-1,ϵ_n} . In fact, let $x \in Y_{n-1}$ be arbitrary, then there is $z \in Y_n$ and $\hat{z} \in T_n(z)$ such that

$$\|\hat{z}^1 - T_{n,\epsilon_n}^1(x) + \hat{z}^2 - T_{n,\epsilon_n}^2(x)\|^2 < \epsilon_n^2,$$

where $\hat{z}^1 \in Y_{n-1}$ and $\hat{z}^2 \in \{y^n\}$. This implies

$$\|\hat{z}^1 - T_{n,\epsilon_n}^1(x)\| < \epsilon_n, \|z^1 - y\| < \epsilon_n.$$

Again it follows that $\|T_{n-1,\epsilon_n} - T_{n,\epsilon_n}^1\|$ can be controlled and thus the first equality in (2.7) is proved. Now, we show

$$\deg_B(\tilde{T}_{n,\epsilon_n}, D_n, 0) = \deg_B(S_{n,\epsilon_n}, D_n, 0). \tag{2.8}$$

Consider the convex homotopy

$$h_n(t) = (1-t)\tilde{T}_{n,\epsilon_n} + tS_{n,\epsilon_n}.$$

It is enough to show $0 \notin h_n(t)(\partial D_n)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. Clearly, $0 \notin h_n(t)(\partial D_n)$ for $t = 0, 1$. For $t \in (0, 1)$ assume that there exists a sequence $t_n \in (0, 1)$ and (z_n) , $z_n \in \partial D_n$ such that $h_n(t_n)(z_n) = 0$. According to the construction of $h_n(t)$ we have

$$0 = h_n(t_n)(z_n) = \tilde{T}_{n,\epsilon_n}^1(z_n) + (1-t_n)\tilde{T}_{n,\epsilon_n}^2(z_n) + t_n(z_n, y^n)y^n.$$

The above relation implies $\tilde{T}_{n,\epsilon_n}^1(z_n) = 0$ and

$$\tilde{T}_{n,\epsilon_n}^2(z_n) = -\frac{t_n}{1-t_n}(z_n, y^n)y^n.$$

Since ∂D is bounded then $z_n \rightarrow z$ in a subsequence. Choose the sequence (ζ_n) , $\zeta_n \in Y_n$ and $\zeta_n \rightarrow z$ and obtain

$$(\tilde{T}_{n,\epsilon_n}(z_n), z_n - \zeta_n) = -\frac{t_n}{1-t_n}|(z_n, y^n)|^2 + \frac{t_n}{1-t_n}(z_n, y^n)(\zeta_n, y^n).$$

On the other hand since $\zeta_n \rightarrow z$, we have $(\zeta_n, y^n) \rightarrow 0$. Since there exists sequence $\hat{z}_n \in T_n(z_n)$ such that $\|\hat{z}_n - T_{n,\epsilon_n}(z_n)\| < \epsilon_n$ we can write for some $z_n^* \in T(z_n)$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle z_n^* + \epsilon J \circ i(z_n), i(z_n - z) \rangle = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\tilde{T}_{n,\epsilon_n}(z_n), z_n - \zeta_n).$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle z_n^* + \epsilon J \circ i(z_n), i(z_n - z) \rangle \leq 0.$$

Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle z_n^*, i(z_n - z) \rangle \geq 0,$$

we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle J \circ i(z_n), i(z_n - z) \rangle \leq 0,$$

and thus $z_n \rightarrow z$. This is impossible because $0 \notin \text{cl}T^\epsilon(\partial D)$.

Now, we show that the definition (2.4) satisfies the classical properties of a topological degree including the solvability and the homotopy invariance.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $D \subset Y$ be an open bounded set and assume that $T : Y \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ is maximal monotone and $0 \notin \text{cl}T(\partial D)$. If*

$$\deg(T, D, 0) \neq 0,$$

then there is $y \in D$ such that $0 \in T(y)$.

Proof. Assume $\deg(T, D, 0) \neq 0$, then there exists a sequence $z_n \in D$ such that $\tilde{T}_{n,\epsilon_n}(z_n) = 0$ for sufficiently small $\epsilon_n > 0$. This implies that there is the sequence $\hat{z}_n \in T_n(z_n)$ such that $\|\hat{z}_n + \epsilon J_n(z_n)\| < \epsilon_n$. Since D is bounded then z_n converges weakly (in a subsequence) to some z . Since T is monotone, we conclude

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle J \circ i(z_n), i(z_n - z) \rangle \leq 0,$$

and thus z_n converges strongly to $z \in \text{cl}(D)$. Let \hat{z}_n be the n -approximation of $z_n^* \in T(z_n)$. Since T is norm to weak-star upper semi-continuous, the sequence z_n^* converges weakly in a subsequence to some $z^* \in T(z)$. Let $v \in Y$ be arbitrary. Consider the sequence (v_n) , $v_n \in Y_n$ and $v_n \rightarrow y$. Then we have

$$\langle z_n^*, i(v_n) \rangle = -\epsilon \langle J \circ i(z_n), i(v_n) \rangle - \epsilon \langle T_{n,\epsilon_n}(z_n) - \hat{z}_n, v_n \rangle \rightarrow 0,$$

On the other hand, $\langle z_n^*, i(v_n) \rangle \rightarrow \langle z^*, i(y) \rangle$, and thus $z^* = 0$ or equivalently $0 \in T(z)$. Since $0 \notin \text{cl}T(\partial D)$, we conclude $z \in D$.

Definition 2.6. Let $D \subset X$ be an open bounded set, and assume $h : [0, 1] \times Y \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ be a continuous homotopy with respect to t such that for any $t \in [0, 1]$, the map $h(t) : Y \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ is maximal monotone. Furthermore assume that $0 \notin \text{cl}h([0, 1] \times \partial D)$. The map h is called an admissible homotopy for maximal monotone maps.

Proposition 2.7. The degree defined in (2.4) is stable under the admissible homotopy of maximal monotone maps.

Proof. According to the definition of the admissible homotopy, the degree

$$\text{deg}_B(\tilde{h}_{n,\epsilon_n}(t), D_n, 0)$$

is independent of t due to the fact $0 \notin \tilde{h}_{n,\epsilon_n}(t)(\partial D_n)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ and the homotopy invariance of the Brouwer's degree. Now, the stability of the defined degree (2.4) with respect to n implies that the degree $\text{deg}(h(t), D, 0)$ is independent of t .

III. DEGREE THEORETIC PROOFS

We give degree theoretic proofs of some theorems in convex analysis. The first theorem is due to D. DeFigueirido [7].

Theorem 3.1. Assume that X is a separable uniformly convex Banach space, $T : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ is a maximal monotone map such that $0 \notin (T + \lambda J)(S_r)$, where S_r is the sphere of radius r and $\lambda > 0$ is arbitrary. Then there exists $u \in \text{cl}(B_r)$ such that $0 \in T(u)$, where B_r is the ball of radius r in X .

Proof. Assume that $0 \notin T(\text{cl}(B_r))$. We show first that $0 \notin \text{cl}T(S_r)$. Otherwise there exist a sequence $u_n \in S_r$ and $u_n^* \in T(u_n)$ such that $u_n^* \rightarrow 0$. The sequence (u_n) converges weakly in a subsequence (that we show again by u_n) to some $u \in \text{cl}(B_r)$. Claim: $[u, 0] \in \text{graph}(T)$. For any $[x, x^*] \in \text{graph}(T)$ we have the inequality

$$\langle x^*, x - u \rangle = \lim \langle x^* - u_n^*, x - u_n \rangle \geq 0.$$

Since T is maximal monotone, the above inequality implies $[x, 0] \in \text{graph}(T)$ or equivalently $0 \in T(u)$. This contradicts the assumption $0 \notin T(\text{cl}(B_r))$. It is also apparent that $0 \notin \text{cl}(J(S_r))$. Next, we show $0 \notin \text{cl}((1-t)T + tJ)(S_r)$ for $t \in (0, 1)$. Otherwise there exist $t_n \in (0, 1)$, $u_n \in S_r$ and $u_n^* \in T(u_n)$ such that

$$(1 - t_n)u_n^* + t_n J(u_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Again for $u_n \rightarrow u$ and $t_n \rightarrow t$ we obtain by the monotonicity property of T the following inequality

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle J(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \leq 0,$$

that implies $u_n \rightarrow u \in S_r$. Claim: $[u, \frac{-t}{1-t}J(u)] \in \text{graph}(T)$. For any $[x, x^*] \in \text{graph}(T)$ we obtain by the fact $\frac{-t}{1-t}J(u_n) \in T(u_n)$ the following relation

$$\langle x^* + \frac{t}{1-t}J(u), x - u \rangle = \lim \langle x^* + \frac{t}{1-t}J(u_n), x - u_n \rangle \geq 0,$$

that proves the claim. Now by degree theoretic argument we have

$$\text{deg}(T, B_r, 0) = \text{deg}((1-t)T + tJ, B_r, 0) = \text{deg}(J, B_r, 0) = 1.$$

The above calculation guarantees the existence of $u \in B_r$ such that $0 \in T(u)$ and this contradicts the assumption $0 \notin T(\text{cl}(B_r))$. Therefore the assumption $0 \notin T(\text{cl}(B_r))$ is wrong and thus $0 \in T(\text{cl}(B_r))$.

The next theorem is again from DeFigueirido [7].

Proposition 3.2. Let X be a separable uniformly convex Banach space and assume that $f : X \rightarrow X^*$ is a pseudo-monotone map. Then $\text{Rang}(\partial N_r + f) = X^*$ where N_r is the map

Ref

7. D. de Figueirido, An existence theorem for pseudo-monotone operator equation in Banach spaces J. Math. Anal. Appl., 34:151156, 1971.

$$N_r(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in B_r \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in S_r \end{cases},$$

and ∂N_r is the set of the sub-gradients of N_r .

Proof. Apparently, we have

$$\partial N_r(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in B_r \\ \{\lambda J(x), \lambda \geq 0\} & \text{if } x \in S_r \end{cases}. \tag{3.1}$$

Claim: for every $f_0 \in X^*$, we have

$$\text{deg}(\partial N_r + f - f_0, B_r, 0) \neq 0. \tag{3.2}$$

First we show if $0 \notin (\partial N_r + f - f_0)(\text{cl}(B_r))$ then

$$0 \notin \text{cl}(\partial N_r + f - f_0)(S_r). \tag{3.3}$$

Otherwise, there is a sequence $u_n \in S_r$ and $u_n^* \in \partial N_r(u_n)$ such that $u_n^* + f(u_n) - f_0 \rightarrow 0$. But $u_n \rightarrow u \in \text{cl}(B_r)$ in a sub-sequence. We prove that $[u, f_0 - f(u)] \in \text{graph}(\partial N_r)$. Let $f_0 = u_n^* + f(u_n) + \epsilon(n)$ where $\epsilon(n) \in X^*$ and $\epsilon(n) \rightarrow 0$. For any arbitrary $[x, x^*] \in \text{graph}(\partial N_r)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x^* - f_0 + f(u), x - u \rangle &= \lim \langle x^* + f(u) - u_n^* - f(u_n), x - u_n \rangle \geq \\ & \lim \langle f(u) - f(u_n), x - u \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$0 = \lim \langle u_n^* + f(u_n) - f_0, u_n - u \rangle \geq \limsup \langle f(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \tag{3.4}$$

Since f is pseudo-monotone we obtain $f(u_n) \rightarrow f(u)$ and therefore

$$\langle x^* - f_0 + f(u), x - u \rangle \geq 0.$$

This implies that $[u, f_0 - f(u)] \in \text{graph}(\partial N_r)$ and thus $0 \in (\partial N_r + f - f_0)(\text{cl}(B_r))$ which is impossible by the assumption. Now consider the affine homotopy

$$h(t) = (1 - t)(\partial N_r + f - f_0) + tJ. \tag{3.5}$$

for $t \in (0, 1]$. We show

$$0 \notin \text{cl}((1 - t)(\partial N_r + f - f_0) + tJ)(S_r).$$

Otherwise, there is a sequence $u_n \in S_r$, $u_n^* \in \partial N_r(u_n)$ and $t_n \rightarrow t$ such that

$$(1 - t_n)(u_n^* + f(u_n) - w) + t_n J u_n \rightarrow 0.$$

But $u_n \rightarrow u \in \text{cl}(B_r)$ in a subsequence. We show

$$[u, f_0 - f(u) - \frac{t}{1-t}J(u)] \in \text{graph}(\partial N_r).$$

For any $[x, x^*] \in \text{graph}(\partial N_r)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x^* - f_0 + f(u) + \frac{t}{1-t}J(u), x - u \rangle &= \\ \lim \langle x^* + f(u) + \frac{t}{1-t}J(u) - u_n^* - f(u_n) - \frac{t_n}{1-t_n}J(u_n), x - u \rangle &\geq \\ \geq \limsup \langle f(u) - f(u_n), x - u \rangle + \liminf \langle \frac{t}{1-t}J(u) - \frac{t_n}{1-t_n}J(u_n), x - u \rangle \end{aligned}$$

We conclude $u_n \rightarrow u \in S_r$ and $f(u_n) \rightarrow f(u)$ because f is pseudo-monotone. Therefore we obtain again

$$0 \in (\partial N_r + f + \frac{t}{1-t}J - f_0)(\text{cl}(B_r)).$$

But $(\partial N_r + f + \frac{t}{1-t}J - f_0)(\text{cl}(B_r)) = (\partial N_r + f - f_0)(\text{cl}(B_r))$ and then $0 \in (\partial N_r + f - f_0)(\text{cl}(B_r))$ that is impossible. Finally we use the homotopy invariance property of degree and write

$$\text{deg}(\partial N_R + f - f_0, B_r, 0) = \text{deg}(h(t), B_R, 0) = \text{deg}(J, B_r, 0) = 1.$$

Therefore there exist $u \in \text{cl}(B_r)$ such that $f_0 \in \partial N_r(u) + f(u)$.

The following theorem is due to F. Browder [8] for the surjectivity of the monotone maps with locally bounded inverse.

Theorem 3.3. *Assume $A : X \rightarrow X^*$ is a demi-continuous monotone map such that A^{-1} is locally bounded, that is, for every $f \in X^*$ there is a bounded $V_f \ni f$ such that $A^{-1}(V_f)$ is bounded. Then A is onto.*

Proof. For any $f \in X^*$, we show that there is sufficiently large $r = r(f)$ such that:

$$\text{deg}(A, B_r, f) \neq 0.$$

Choose $r > 0$ such that for a neighborhood $V_f \ni f$ the following condition is satisfied

$$S_r \cap A^{-1}(V_f) = \emptyset,$$

or equivalently $f \notin \text{cl}A(S_r)$. Since there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\text{deg}(A, B_r, f) = \text{deg}(A + \epsilon J, B_r, f),$$

it is enough to show

$$\text{deg}(A + \epsilon J, B_r, f) \neq 0, \tag{3.6}$$

for sufficiently large r and sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. First, we show

$$\text{deg}(A + \epsilon J, B_r, 0) \neq 0.$$

In fact, if $(A + \epsilon J)(z) = 0$ for $z \in \partial B_r$, then

$$\langle A(z) - A(0), z \rangle + \epsilon \|z\|^2 + \langle A(0), z \rangle = 0.$$

Since A is monotone, the inequality $\epsilon \|z\|^2 + \langle A(0), z \rangle \leq 0$ implies $\epsilon \|z\| \leq \|A(0)\|$, that is impossible for sufficiently large r . Since $A + \epsilon J$ is a map of class $(S)_+$, define the homotopy $h(t) = tA + \epsilon J$. It is simply seen that $0 \notin h(t)(\partial B_r)$ and then

$$\text{deg}(A + \epsilon J, B_r, 0) = \text{deg}(h(t), B_r, 0) = \text{deg}(J, B_r, 0) \neq 0.$$

The proof of (3.6) is completely similar to one presented above.

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

1. F. Browder. *The theory of degree of mapping for nonlinear mappings of monotone type, Nonlinear partial differential equations and their applications*, 6:165–177, 1982.
2. M. Niksirat, *A new generalization of the Browder’s degree of $(S)_+$ maps*, appear soon.
3. A. Cellina, *Approximation of set valued functions and fixed point theorems*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 82:1724, 1969.
4. N. G. Lloyd, *Degree Theory*, Cambrige University Press, 1978.
5. V. P. Barbu, *Nonlinear differential equations of monotone types in Banach spaces*, Springer, 2010.
6. F. Browder and B. A. Ton, *Nonlinear functional equations in Banach spaces and elliptic super regularization*, Math Z., 105:177–195, 1968.

Ref

8. F. Browder. *Nonlinear operators and nonlinear equations of evolution in Banach spaces*. American Math. Soc., 1976.

7. D. de Figuerido, *An existence theorem for pseudo-monotone operator equation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 34:151156, 1971.
8. F. Browder. *Nonlinear operators and nonlinear equations of evolution in Banach spaces*. American Math. Soc., 1976.