



From the Electrodynamics of Maxwell, Hertz, Heaviside to Transcoordinate Electrodynamics

By F. F. Mende

Abstract- The conclusion about the absence in them of the mathematical means of the adequate description of passage from one inertial reference system to another because of the use by them of particular derived field functions on the time, which completely tie electrodynamic process to one concrete frame of reference, is made on the basis of the critical analysis of extraction from the equations of the electrodynamics of ideas about the space and period. Is proposed new approach to the development of the mathematical apparatus for electrodynamics in the direction of the more adequate description of passage from one inertial reference system to another due to the introduction into the examination of the trans-coordinate equations, which use new Galilean and trans-coordinate derivatives of field functions.

Keywords: maxwell equation, equation of electromagnetic induction, galileo transformation, the special theory of relativity, lorenz transformation, converting mende, trans-coordinate electrodynamics.

GJSFR-A Classification : FOR Code: 020302



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of :



From the Electrodynamics of Maxwell, Hertz, Heaviside to Transcoordinate Electrodynamics

F. F. Mende

Abstract- The conclusion about the absence in them of the mathematical means of the adequate description of passage from one inertial reference system to another because of the use by them of particular derived field functions on the time, which completely tie electrodynamic process to one concrete frame of reference, is made on the basis of the critical analysis of extraction from the equations of the electrodynamic ideas about the space and period. Is proposed new approach to the development of the mathematical apparatus for electrodynamic in the direction of the more adequate description of passage from one inertial reference system to another due to the introduction into the examination of the trans-coordinate equations, which use new Galilean and trans-coordinate derivatives of field functions. This generalization of electrodynamic assumes the dependence of electromagnetic field and electric charge on the speed of the motion of observer, caused not by the geometry of space-time, but by physical nature of the very field within the framework of giperkontinual ideas about the space and the time. Is obtained the new trans-coordinate formulation of Maxwell's equations for the case of IStropic homogeneous medium without the dispersion, which generalizes the traditional formulation of Hertz-Heaviside for the same case. Are given Maxwell's equations in the integral and differential forms in the idea of Hertz-Heaviside and in the transcoordinate idea.

Keywords: maxwell equation, equation of electromagnetic induction, galileo transformation, the special theory of relativity, lorentz transformation, converting mende, trans-coordinate electrodynamic.

I. INTRODUCTION

Past century is marked by the most great crisis in physics, when for the change to a fundamental understanding of the physical sense of natural phenomena and technical processes arrived new scientific orientators. Physicist P. Dirac proclaimed mathematical beauty by sole criterion for the selection of the way of development in theoretical physics. But mathematician M. Atya, realizing risk to be that lulled by the elegance, which is been based on unsteady soil, warned that the subordination of physics to mathematics conceals danger, since can bring into the region of the fabrications, which personify the mathematical perfection, but too distant from the physical reality or even having with it nothing in common.

The special feature of contemporary physics is its comparatively high financing with the fact that the realization of transparent and effective state and public

Author: Kharkov, Ukraine. e-mail: fedormende@gmail.com

control of the appropriate financial flows runs into the formidable difficulties. The situation, when physicists control themselves, creates favorable circumstances for all possible abuses of the hypertrophied authorities. Especially complex state of affairs occurs in the sphere of basic physical research. The extremely high level of the mathematization of scientific works in this sphere leads to the fact that even the highly skilled specialists of adjacent regions or altogether only belonging to different scientific schools begin to speak "in the different languages" and they cease to understand each other.

The scientific results of the individual scientists (such, as Einstein Khoking) proclaim immutable truth similarly to religious dogmas. But open and secret prohibitions to the critical analysis of the works of the acknowledged coryphaei are always disastrous for the scientific progress and unavoidably they lead to the stagnation. However, any criticism must be objective and design. At the basis of physics was always it must remain physical experiment, and the correspondence to physical experiment must always be the principal criterion of the truth of physical theories. The mathematical rigor of physical theories is also important, but is not less important that, so that the physical sense of phenomena and processes would not be hidden, obscured by mathematical formalizations.

Finally, one additional brake of the development of science – its excessive popularization in the commercial interests. The science, chained into the shackles of the yellow press, when on the covers of popular periodicals for the larger psychological effect are depicted allegedly the brilliant persons with the limited physical possibilities, tendentiously praised by journalists, who do not absolutely examine science itself on themselves, causes bewilderment. The high mathematization of physical theories only helps yellow press to give to physics the halo of mysticity, taking away the reader from the truth. Is preferable the qualified popularization of physics by scientists themselves, but furthermore, it must rest on the objective information about the results of physical experiments and the comprehensive disclosure of the physical sense of theoretical models.

All this gave birth to the most severe crisis in contemporary physics. But this state of affairs cannot continue eternally. Now situation in physics greatly resembles that, which preceded the fall of the system of

Ptolemy. For the change to decrepit dogmas prepare to arrive new progressive ideas and views. So that it is better to understand, what renovation contemporary physics requires, necessary critically to analyze as why arose this deep and prolonged crisis.

Passage from comparatively simple and intuitively intelligible classical ideas about the space and time to the relativistic was critical moment. But after connecting relativity with the quantization of action, complete geometrization of gravity and propagation of the principle of geometrization on other physical interactions the imperfection of the prevailing ideas and views became obvious. The root of this imperfection consists in the fundamental disagreement between physics and mathematics, when the mathematical apparatus for physics increasingly more degenerates into mystic scholasticism, within the framework by which the objective physical sense of phenomena increasingly more slips off, and the role of the subjective consciousness of observer and unknown "magic" force of abstract mathematical formulas becomes of ever of more fundamental. One should recognize that the noted disagreement of physics and mathematics began to increase long before the victorious procession of the theory of relativity. Probably, by historically first especially "solid nut" for mathematical physics proved to be electrodynamics. Passage from the mechanics of material points and their final totalities to the formal description of continuous in the space and the time of electromagnetic field required the attraction of more powerful mathematical apparatus, but the development of mathematics, which goes in many respects according to its own internal laws, it did not chronically answer the demands of vigorously developing physics.

II. SYMMETRIZATION OF THE LAWS OF THE INDUCTION

In the initial form the system of equations of classical electrodynamics, based on the laws of electromagnetic induction, was recorded by Maxwell in his famous treatise [1] with the use of calculation of the quaternions, which allow the conversions of Galileo upon transfer from to that inertial reference system (IS) into another IS. In the treatise the works of ampere and Faraday were generalized and systematized [2,3]. However, it was immediately explained that the apparatus for quaternion calculation in mathematics was developed not so well so that physics they could it successfully apply to the wide circle of the tasks of electrodynamics. In order to draw into the electrodynamics the simpler and more effective means of mathematical physicists, Hertz and Heaviside reformulated Maxwell's equations from the language of quaternion calculation to the language of vector analysis [4-6].

At that time it seemed that the formulation of Hertz-Heaviside is equivalent to the initial formulation of Maxwell, but now already it is possible to establish that the equations, obtained by Hertz and Heaviside, are essential simplification in Maxwell's equations in the quaternions, moreover this simplification relates not only to their mathematical form, but also (that most important!) to their physical content, since in this case equations were deprived naturally Galileo- invariance of inherent in them. Nevertheless for the concretely undertaken inertial reference system (but not their totality) the equivalence of formulations occurred, by virtue of which the formulation of Hertz-Heaviside it obtained the deserved acknowledgement of scientific association it extruded in the theoretical and applied research the formulation of Maxwell himself. But this approach during writing of the equations of electrodynamics deprived the possibility of use by the substantial derivative, after rejecting from the examination its convective component.

Further development of Hertz-Heaviside ideas led to the development by Lorenz and Poincare the bases of the mathematical apparatus of the special theory of relativity (STR). This was major step forward in comparison with the nonrelativistic theory of electromagnetic field, since it was possible to reveal the dependence of electromagnetic field on the relative speed of observer. But those leading of physics and mathematics of their time could not propose to the clear physical interpretation of their formulas. This is what writes in regard to this well-known specialist in the region of tensor analysis Rachevsky 2: "The theory of relativity arose as a result the prolonged accumulation of the experimental material, which led to the deep conversion of our physical ideas about the forms of material and motion. And other physical quantities to the newly open experimental facts it was revealed after the whole series of the attempts to adapt previous ideas about the space, time that for these purposes it is necessary to reconstruct all these concepts radically. This task was executed in basic a. By Einstein in 1905. (special theory of relativity) and in 1915. (general theory of relativity). In other the task was executed was only in the sense that given the ordered formal mathematical description of new state of affairs. The task of the deep, really physical substantiation of this mathematical diagram still stands before physics".

At this determining moment physics proved to be on crossroads. One of the ways lay at the direction of further searches for the suitable mathematical apparatus for electrodynamics (to what, judging by everything, were inclined they themselves Lorenz and Poincare), but the physicist following Einstein it was banal along another way, who consisted in the decisive and uncompromising failure of the classical ideas about the space and the time with the passage to the relativistic ideas.

By the way of introduction into physics of known postulates, the theory of relativity in Einstein's version explained several important experimental results and in connection with this was obtained the acknowledgement of the wide circles of physicists. Relativistic ideology supported such those leading of mathematics of that time as Minkowski, Gilbert and Born. The principle of geometrization, which reflects secret dreams and expectations of many thinkers, was and remains especially attractive for the mathematicians in this ideology, beginning from the idealistic views of great Ancient Greek philosopher Plato, to reduce all fundamental laws of universe to the geometric properties of the certain idealized mathematical objects. Thus, mathematics, after yielding to temptation to subordinate to itself physics by means of the principle of geometrization so desired for it, proved to be unable to rise higher than the geometric means of thinking and it is worthy to satisfy the increasing needs of physics. Physics used that apparatus, which mathematics was ready to propose to it, and this unavoidably conducted to the creation of special, and then general theory of relativity and, further, to all to the increasing celebration of the principle of geometrization.

In accordance with them, the dependence of electromagnetic field on the speed of the motion of observer is not caused by the fundamental factors of physical nature of field itself, but it is defined by example through the dependence on it of the intervals of time and spatial distance (Lorentz transformation) under the assumption of the relativistic invariance of electric charge. However, specialists (first of all, by experimenters) discovered, that the classical electrodynamics and STR, in spite of already the more centenary myth, are located in the contradiction to each other. However, contemporary experiences on the measurement of the speed of light in one direction (but the not averaged speed "back and forth" as, for example, in Fizeau's experiments and to them analogous) [8,9] contradict postulate STR about the constancy of the speed of light and is brought into question the physical validity of Lorentz transformation.

Maxwell's ideas about the use during the writing of the laws of the electrodynamics of the substantial derivative lead to the need for the symmetrization of the equations of induction. For the first time this principle was developed in the work [10] and underwent its further development in the works [11-20].

This approach not only opened new direction in physics, but also it made it possible to predict new physical phenomenon by the name transverse plasma resonance in the confined plasma [21].

The symmetrized laws of magnetoelectric and electromagnetic induction are written

$$\begin{aligned} \oint \mathbf{E}' d\mathbf{l}' &= - \int \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} ds + \oint [\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}] d\mathbf{l}', \\ \oint \mathbf{H}' d\mathbf{l}' &= \int \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} ds - \oint [\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{D}] d\mathbf{l}'. \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rot } \mathbf{E}' &= - \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} + \text{rot} [\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}], \\ \text{rot } \mathbf{H}' &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} - \text{rot} [\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{D}]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

For the constants pour on these relationships they take the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}' &= [\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}], \\ \mathbf{H}' &= - [\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{D}]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

In relationships (2.1-2.3), which assume the validity of the Galileo conversions dash and not dash values present fields and elements in moving and fixed inertial system [IS] respectively. It must be noted, that conversions (2.3) earlier could be obtained only from Lorentz transformation.

Of relationships (2.1-2.3), which present the laws of induction, do not give information about how arose fields in initial fixed [IS]. They describe only laws governing the propagation and conversion pour on in the case of motion with respect to the already existing fields.

Of relationship (2.3) attest to the fact that in the case of relative motion of frame of references, between the fields of \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{H} there is a cross coupling, i.e., motion in the fields of \mathbf{H} leads to the appearance pour on \mathbf{E} and vice versa.

This connection leads to the Mende transformation, which take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}'_{\uparrow} &= \mathbf{E}_{\uparrow}; \quad \mathbf{E}'_{\perp} = \mathbf{E}_{\perp} \text{ch} \frac{v}{c} + \frac{Z_0}{v} [\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{H}_{\perp}] \text{sh} \frac{v}{c}; \\ \mathbf{H}'_{\uparrow} &= \mathbf{H}_{\uparrow}; \quad \mathbf{H}'_{\perp} = \mathbf{H}_{\perp} \text{ch} \frac{v}{c} - \frac{1}{vZ_0} [\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{E}_{\perp}] \text{sh} \frac{v}{c}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where \mathbf{E}_{\uparrow} and \mathbf{H}_{\uparrow} parallel, and \mathbf{E}_{\perp} also \mathbf{H}_{\perp} normal to the speed IS of component pour on; $Z_0 = \sqrt{\mu_0/\epsilon_0}$ – the impedance of free space; $c = 1/\sqrt{\mu_0\epsilon_0}$ – the speed of light.

The Mende transformation are obtained from the classical symmetrized equations of the induction within the framework of the conversions of Galileo in contrast to Lorentz transformation, which are obtained on the basis of known postulates. It should be noted that the conversions examined coincide to the quadratic terms.



The Mende transformation of the aid of relationships (3.5) it is possible to explain the phenomenon of phase aberration, which did not have within the framework existing classical electrodynamics of explanations.

The principle of the symmetrization of the laws of induction opened way to the creation of the concept of scalar- vector potential, which indicates that the fields of charge, its normal to speed motions change according to the law.

$$E' = E \operatorname{ch}(v_{\perp}/c).$$

III. FROM HERTZ-HEAVISIDE ELECTRODYNAMICS TO THE TRANSCOORDINATE ELECTRODYNAMICS

The conclusion about the absence in them of the mathematical means of the adequate description of passage from one inertial reference system to another because of the use by them of particular derived field functions on the time, which completely tie electrodynamic process to one concrete frame of reference, is made on the basis of the critical analysis of extraction from the equations of the electrodynamics of ideas about the space and period. Let us examine new approach to the development of the mathematical apparatus for electrodynamics in the direction of the more adequate description of passage from one inertial reference system to another due to the introduction into the examination of the trans-coordinate equations, which use new Galilean and trans-coordinate derivatives of the field functions [22]. This generalization of electrodynamics assumes the dependence of electromagnetic field and electric charge on the speed of the motion of observer, caused not by the geometry of space-time, but by physical nature of the very field within the framework of giperkontinual ideas about the space and the time. The consequence of this approach propose the new trans-coordinate formulation of Maxwell's equations for the case of isotropic homogeneous medium without the dispersion, which generalizes the traditional formulation of Hertz-Heaviside for the same case. Let us give Maxwell's equations in the integral and differential forms in the idea of Hertz-Heaviside and in the trans-coordinate idea.

Despite the fact that Maxwell's equations both in the formulation of Maxwell himself and in the formulation of Hertz-Heaviside, are obtained within the framework classical ideas about the space and of time, who use conversions of Galileo, subsequently precisely of Maxwell's equation they became the theoretical prerequisite of the creation of the special theory of relativity (STR). As convincingly shown, for example, in [23], beSTR it consists of the identification of the natural geometry of the electromagnetic field, described by Maxwell's equations, with the geometry of world physical

space-time. And now already in the contemporary works on the electrodynamics (typical example – the work [24]) of Maxwell's equation they are examined in the four-dimensional pseudo-Riemann space-time).

Is it possible to return to Maxwell's equations the original Galileo-invariance within the framework of certain new, it kind of neoclassical ideas about the space and the time, without rejecting the use of an apparatus of vector analysis during writing of equations? In this work we will show that the answer to this question is affirmative.

In the classical mechanics particle dynamics is described by the differential equations for its radius-vector, which use usual derivative of the second order on the time. Specifically, its use ensures the Galileo-invariance of equations. If we connect the set of massive material points by weightless elastic threads into the united string, i.e. fluctuation will be described by the Galileo- invariant system of differential equations. But if we complete passage to the limit, after fixing the number of material points to infinity, and their mass and the length of separate threads – to zero, then we will obtain the one-dimensional wave equation (equation of vibrations of string), not invariant relative to the conversions of Galileo, but invariant relative to the group of pseudo-orthogonal conversions (hyperbolic turnings, which preserve pseudo-Euclidean certificate). The culprit of this strange and unexpected metamorphosis upon transfer from “material- point mechanics to continuous medium – this passage to the limit with the substitution by usual derivative to the quotient, which, generally speaking, is analytically legal 25, but it narrows the region of the physical applicability of equation. The real wave process of mechanical vibrations of string remains Galileo- invariant, but its equation is already deprived of the mathematical means of the description of passage from one inertial reference system to another, and completely ties process to one concrete frame of reference, attaching in it the ends of the string.

The discovery wave equation in the mechanics did not lead to the revision of ideas about the space and the time, but to this led the discovery the same equation in the electrodynamics. In the theory of relativity the corresponding group of pseudo-orthogonal conversions for the electromagnetic waves in the vacuum (Lorenz transformation) obtained status of the subgroup of the motion of the certificate of united world physical space-time. But appears doubt about the justification of the use of traditional equations of electrodynamics, in particular, wave equation, for the adequate extraction of them of ideas about the space and the time. Easily to assume that these equations, using partial derivatives of field functions on the time, similar to the equation of mechanical fluctuations, are simply deprived of the mathematical means of the adequate description of passage from one inertial reference system to another and so completely they tie process to one concrete

frame of reference. The question of the possibility of the suitable refinement or generalizing the equations of electrodynamics so arises, beginning from the equations of the induction of electric field by magnetic and magnetic – electrical. The thorough study of this problem in [10] led to the appearance of an idea about the fact that this improvement of electrodynamics must assume existence of the dependence of electromagnetic field on the speed of the motion of observer, caused not by the geometry of space-time, but by physical nature of field.

In the theory of relativity the electromagnetic field also depends on the speed of the motion of observer, but it is only defined by example through the dependence on it of the intervals of time and spatial distance (Lorentz transformation), the relativistic invariance of electric charge occurs result of which. However, the more fundamental (direct) dependence of field on the speed is connected with the presence of this dependence even absolute value of electric charge. Until recently this not invariance of charge was confirmed only by indirect empirical data, which were being consisted in the appearance of an electric potential on the superconductive windings and the tori during the introduction in them of direct current, or in the observation of the electric pulse of nuclear explosions [26].

In particular, 9 July 1962 of year with the explosion in space above Pacific Ocean of H-bomb with the TNT equivalent 1,4 Mt. according to the program of the USA «Starfish» the tension of electrical pour on she exceeded those forecast by Nobel laureate Bethe 1000 once. With the explosion of nuclear charge according to the program “program K”, which was realized into the USSR, the radio communication and the radar installations were also blocked at a distance to 1000 km of. It was discovered, that the registration of the consequences of space nuclear explosion was possible at the large (to 10 thousand kilometers) distances from the point of impact. The electric fields of pulse led to the large electrical noise to the power cable in the lead shell, buried at the depth about ~1 m, which connects power station in Akmolata with Alma-Ata. The electrical noise were so great that the automation opened cable from the power station.

However, 2015 year was marked by the already direct experimental confirmation of this phenomenon as a result of detection and study of the pulse of the electric field, which appears with the warming-up of the plasma as a result of the discharge through the dischargers of the capacitors of great capacity [26]. It turned out that in the process of the warming-up of plasma with an equal quantity in it of electrons and positive ions in it the unitary negative charge of free electrons, not compensated by slower positive ions, is formed.

This fact contradicts not only the classical, but also relativistic conversions of electromagnetic field upon transfer from one inertial reference system to another, testifying about the imperfection not only of classical, but also relativistic ideas about the space and the time. Idea about the fact that the promising electrodynamics must assume existence of the dependence of electromagnetic field on the speed of the motion of observer, caused not by the geometry of space-time, and by physical nature of field, which does not assume the invariance of electric charge, was developed in a number of the work of F. F. Mende [8, 10, 26]. In these works is given the substantiation of introduction into the electrodynamics instead of the classical and relativistic new conversions of electromagnetic field, which was called the Mende transformation.

However, the sequential development of this radical idea, as not the invariance of charge, requires the deep revision of the mathematical apparatus for electrodynamics, called to the creation of the mathematical means of the more adequate description of passage from one inertial reference system to another. Approach to precisely this development of the mathematical apparatus for electrodynamics was proposed by A. S. Dubrovin in [27]. This approach lies within the framework the sequential revision of ideas about the space and the time with the failure of the relativistic and the passage to the new ideas, which call giperkontinual.

The concept of time-spatial giperkontinuum is introduced in [28] as a result the joint study of the algebraic and geometric structures of the commutative algebras with one, elements of which are the functions of sine waves. The hypothesis of giperkontinuum (about the hierarchical giperkontinual structure of world physical space-time) is starting point of scientific studies, directed toward the generalization of ideas about the structure of space and time in the course of passage from the contemporary quantum scientific paradigm to the new system, that simultaneously structurally connecting up its framework continuity and the discretion, dynamicity and static character, and also globality and the locality.

In [27] is proposed new approach to the development of the mathematical apparatus for electrodynamics in the direction of the more adequate description of passage from one inertial reference system to another on the basis of giperkontinual ideas about the space and in the time due to the improvement of differential calculus of the field functions under the assumption of their dependence on the speed of the motion of observer. Let us accept for the basis this approach.

Two inertial reference systems with the time united for them will examine $t \in \mathbb{R}$. One of them (with the system of rectangular Cartesian space

coordinates $OXYZ$) let us name laboratory (not hatch) and we will interpret it as relatively fixed. The second (with the system of rectangular Cartesian space coordinates $O'X'Y'Z'$) let us name substantive (hatch) and we will interpret it as connected with the certain moving real or imaginary medium. Let us assume that with $t = 0$ the system of space coordinates of both frame of references they coincide. Let us introduce the indices $\alpha = \overline{1,3}$, $\beta = \overline{1,3}$. Coordinates along the axes $OX, OY, OZ, O'X', O'Y', O'Z'$ we will assign by variables x^α and x'^α respectively. Unit vectors along the axes OX and $O'X'$, the axes OY and $O'Y'$, the axes $OZ, O'Z'$ let us designate through $\mathbf{e}_\beta = (e_\beta^\alpha)$, moreover $e_\beta^\alpha = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$, where $\delta_{\alpha\beta}$ – Kronecker's symbol.

Through $\mathbf{v} = (v^\alpha)\mathbf{v}$ let us designate the velocity vector of the motion of substantive frame of reference relative to laboratory and the module of this vector. Directing a unit vector \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{v} , we lengthwise have: $\mathbf{v} = v\mathbf{e}_1 = (v^\alpha)$, $v^\alpha = v\delta_{\alpha 1}$. Event in the data two frame of references takes the form $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{r}, t) = (x^\alpha, t)$; $\mathbf{x}' = (\mathbf{r}', t) = (x'^\alpha, t)$, where $\mathbf{r} = (x^\alpha)$, $\mathbf{r}' = (x'^\alpha)$ – the radius-vectors. We will consider that the physical equivalence of events $\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}'$ indicates the validity of the Galileo transformation

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{v} \tag{3.1}$$

or, otherwise, substituting vector idea by the component,

$$x^\alpha = x'^\alpha + tv\delta_{\alpha 1} \tag{3.2}$$

Classical physical field is described in the laboratory and substantive frame of references by its field functions $\Phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $\Phi'(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}', t)$, moreover $\Phi'(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{r}', t) = \Phi(\mathbf{r}', t)$, and equality $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ indicates $v^\alpha = 0$. Their values are called field variables. For pour on different physical nature they can be suitable the different mathematical ideas of field functions, so that field variables can be, for example, scalar or vector

$$\frac{d\Phi(\mathbf{r}, t)}{dt} = \frac{d\Phi(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{v}, t)}{dt} = \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Phi(\mathbf{r}' + (t + \Delta t)\mathbf{v}, t + \Delta t) - \Phi(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{v}, t)}{\Delta t} \tag{3.4}$$

But it is possible to examine also the derivative (let us name its derivative of Galileo), whose arguments will coincide with the arguments of field function no

$$\frac{\partial' \Phi}{\partial t}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}', t) = \frac{d\Phi(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{v}, t)}{dt} = \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Phi(\mathbf{r}' + (t + \Delta t)\mathbf{v}, t + \Delta t) - \Phi(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{v}, t)}{\Delta t} \tag{3.5}$$

with the material or complex values of their most variable or vector components. If in the role of this field electric field comes out, then in this role can come out the functions of its tension $\mathbf{E} = \Phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$, $\mathbf{E}' = \Phi'(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}', t)$, and in the case of magnetic field we have functions of the magnetic induction $\mathbf{B} = \Phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$, $\mathbf{B}' = \Phi'(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}', t)$.

In the classical nonrelativistic field theory it is considered that the equality occurs

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{v}, t) = \Phi'(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}', t) \tag{3.3}$$

mathematically expressing the physical concept of the invariance of field relative to the speed of the motion of observer. In the theory of relativity (3.3) no longer it is carried out, but Lorentz transformation are used instead of the conversions of Galileo. But this not invariance of field does not have fundamental, that not connected with the geometry of the space-time of physical nature, but it occurs simply the consequence of the effects of the reduction of lengths and time dilation in the moving frame of references. The proposed by us giperkontinual ideas about the space and the time 28 provide for the great possibilities of the invariance of various physical processes relative to various transformation groups of coordinates with the fact that special role in time-spatial giperkontinuum play the conversions of Galileo (3.1), since they in this case they treat as the level conversions of Lorentz of infinitely high level and, thus, they make it possible in a united manner to synchronize all events in all separate continua, hierarchically structure into united giperkontinuum. Natural to consider that in giperkontinuum the field also not is invariant relative to the speed of the motion of observer, but to explain this by the already fundamental properties of field, not connected with the geometry of separate continua.

Arises the question about the possible versions of complete differentiation concerning the time of field function in the laboratory frame of reference $\Phi(\mathbf{r}, t)$, of that produced depending on substantive frame of reference. In fluid mechanics and classical mechanics widely is used the derivative of Lagrange (the substantial derivative), which has the same arguments as the initial field function:

longer in the laboratory, but in the substantive frame of reference:

If the arguments of the derivatives of Lagrange and Galileo are connected with equality (3.1), that their corresponding values are equal and are decomposed

$$\frac{\partial' \Phi}{\partial t}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}', t) = \frac{d \Phi(\mathbf{r}, t)}{dt} = \frac{\partial \Phi(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{v}, t)}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \Phi(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{v}, t) \quad (3.6)$$

Let us explain a difference in the physical sense of the Lagrange and Galilean derivatives of field function. Lagrange's derivative (3.4) is complete time derivative of the function of field in the laboratory frame of reference, measured at the point of space, which in the laboratory frame of reference at the moment of time t has a radius-vector \mathbf{r} , determined by the equality (3.1). But Galileo's derivative (3.5) is complete time

into one and the same sum of quotient on the time and the convective derivative of field function in the laboratory frame of reference:

derivative of the function of field in the laboratory frame of reference, measured at the point of space, which in the substantive frame of reference has a radius-vector \mathbf{r}' . The concepts of Lagrange and Galilean derivatives (3.4)-(3.6) naturally are generalized to the case derivative of higher order ($n = \overline{1, \infty}$):

$$\frac{d^1 \Phi(\mathbf{r}, t)}{dt^1} = \frac{d \Phi(\mathbf{r}, t)}{dt}, \quad \frac{d^{n+1} \Phi(\mathbf{r}, t)}{dt^{n+1}} = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{d^n \Phi(\mathbf{r}, t)}{dt^n};$$

$$\frac{\partial'^1 \Phi}{\partial t^1}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}', t) = \frac{\partial' \Phi}{\partial t}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}', t); \quad \frac{\partial'^n \Phi}{\partial t^n}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}', t) = \frac{d^n \Phi(\mathbf{r}, t)}{dt^n}$$

Within the framework concepts of the invariance of field relative to the speed of the motion of observer, i.e., with fulfillment condition (3), we have:

$$\frac{\partial' \Phi}{\partial t}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}', t) = \frac{d \Phi(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{v}, t)}{dt} = \frac{d \Phi'(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}', t)}{dt} = \frac{\partial \Phi'(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}', t)}{\partial t}, \quad (3.7)$$

i.e., Galilean the derivative of field in the laboratory frame of reference is not distinguished from the particular time derivative of the function of field in the substantive frame of reference. Therefore introduction within the framework to this concept of the derivative of Galileo as some new mathematical object with its independent physical sense, is superfluous. However, within the framework relativistic ideas examination by Galileo's derivative is empty because of the emptiness of very conversions of Galileo (in contrast to Lorenz transformation). But giperkontinual ideas about the space and the time make Galilean derived completely by that claimed, and equality (3.7) – to false.

This view on the space, the period and the electromagnetic field in conjunction with the application of Galileo's derivative leads to the new, trans-coordinate formulation of the electrodynamics [27]. It generalizes the conventional formulation of Hertz-Heaviside, which will be examined below.

Electromagnetic field in the isotropic homogeneous medium without the dispersion is described in the laboratory and substantive frame of references by its variables (tension of electric field $\mathbf{E} = (E^\alpha)$, $\mathbf{E}' = (E'^\alpha)$ and magnetic induction $\mathbf{B} = (B^\alpha)$, $\mathbf{B}' = (B'^\alpha)$, by constants (electrical ϵ_0 and magnetic μ_0 , and also expressed as them speed

of light in the vacuum $c = 1/\sqrt{\epsilon_0 \mu_0}$), by the parameters (dielectric and magnetic constant ϵ and μ , and also the density of strange electric charge ρ , ρ' , the electric current density of conductivity $\mathbf{j} = (j^\alpha)$, $\mathbf{j}' = (j'^\alpha)$, electric charge Q , Q' , electric current I , I'), by field functions $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = (E^\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t))$, $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = (B^\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t))$, $\mathbf{E}' = \mathbf{E}'(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}', t) = (E'^\alpha(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}', t))$, $\mathbf{B}' = \mathbf{B}'(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}', t) = (B'^\alpha(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}', t))$, moreover

$$\mathbf{E}'(0, \mathbf{r}', t) = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}', t); \quad \mathbf{B}'(0, \mathbf{r}', t) = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}', t) \quad (3.8)$$

In the classical nonrelativistic electrodynamics it is relied:

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{v}, t) = \mathbf{E}'(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}', t); \quad \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{v}, t) = \mathbf{B}'(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}', t) \quad (3.9)$$

What is the application of a general formula (3.3) of the invariance of field relative to the speed of the motion of observer for the case of electromagnetic field. The proposed by us giperkontinual ideas about the space and the time [28] exceed the scope of this concept, but is explained nature of this not invariance not by the geometry of united space-time similar to the theory of relativity, but by the fundamental properties of field.

The integral form of Maxwell's equations in the idea of Hertz-Heaviside with the above-indicated conditions (isotropy, the uniformity of medium, the

absence in it of dispersion) is the following system of four integral equations of the electrodynamics:

$$\oint_s \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{s} = Q/(\epsilon\epsilon_0); \oint_s \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{s} = 0; \oint_l \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = -\frac{d}{dt} \int_s \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{s}; \frac{c^2}{\epsilon\mu} \oint_l \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \frac{I}{\epsilon\epsilon_0} + \frac{d}{dt} \int_s \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{s}, \quad (3.10)$$

where s , l – the arbitrary two-dimensional closed (for the first two equations) or open (for the second two equations) surface and its limiting locked outline, which not not compulsorily coincides with the electric circuit.

If we on Wednesday put the even additional condition of the absence of free charges and currents, then last two equations (3.10) will take the form:

$$\oint_l \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = -\frac{d}{dt} \int_s \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{s}, \quad \oint_l \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \frac{\epsilon\mu}{c^2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_s \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{s}. \quad (3.11)$$

They are the integral form of the law of the induction of Faraday and circulation theorem of magnetic field in the laboratory frame of reference for this special case of medium.

does move or it rests, and the current carrying outline moves, provided their relative motion in both cases was identical (Galilean invariance of Faraday law). Therefore the flow through the outline can change as a result of a change of the magnetic field with time, and the position of its boundary also because with the displacement of outline changes [29]. The corresponding generalization of laws (3.11) to the case of the outline, which moves in the laboratory and which is rested in the substantive frame of reference, takes the form:

These two laws take the mutually symmetrical form with an accuracy to of scalar factor, by virtue of which their analysis it is identical. Let us examine the first law in more detail, for example. In Faraday's experiences it is experimentally established that in the outline the identical currents appear regardless of the fact, this outline relative to the current carrying outline

$$\oint_l \mathbf{E}' \cdot d\mathbf{l} = -\frac{d}{dt} \int_s \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{s} \quad \oint_l \mathbf{B}' \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \frac{\epsilon\mu}{c^2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_s \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{s} \quad (3.12)$$

Where \mathbf{E}' and \mathbf{B}' are described fields in the element $d\mathbf{l}$ in the substantive frame of reference, i.e., in such inertial reference system, in which $d\mathbf{l}$ it rests; specifically, such electric field causes the appearance of a current in the case of the presence of real electric circuit in this place. Equations (3.12) are completely interesting and uncommon from a mathematical point of view, since they mutually connect field variables in the different inertial reference systems (let us name such equations trans-coordinate). Specifically, the use of trans-coordinate equations makes it possible to adequately describe physical fields in giperkontinuum. At the same time in this case the discussion deals not simply about the trans-coordinate of equations (3.12), and with their global trans-coordinate, ensured by use

by the Galilean derivative (connected by them inertial reference systems they can move relative to each other with the arbitrary speed, and not compulsorily with infinitely small).

Returning to the system of equations (3.10), it is possible to establish that the region of its applicability is limited by the requirement of the state of rest of outline l in the laboratory frame of reference. If we remove this limitation, after requiring only the states of rest of outline l in the substantive frame of reference, then will come out the known idea of Maxwell's equations (we we call his trans-coordinate [27]), integral form of which will be in it the system of the generalizing (3.10) four integral equations of the electrodynamics of the moving media:

$$\oint_s \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{s} = Q/(\epsilon\epsilon_0); \oint_s \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{s} = 0; \oint_l \mathbf{E}' \cdot d\mathbf{l} = -\frac{d}{dt} \int_s \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{s}; \frac{c^2}{\epsilon\mu} \oint_l \mathbf{B}' \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \frac{I'}{\epsilon\epsilon_0} + \frac{d}{dt} \int_s \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{s}. \quad (3.13)$$

If the trans-coordinate idea of the equations of Maxwell (both in that examined by integral and in that examined lower than the differential forms) to interpret in the context of the description of electromagnetic field in time-spatial giperkontinuum, then it is necessary to consider that the equalities (3.8) are always carried out, but (3.9) – in the general case no.

Equations (3.12) and (3.13) are known in the classical electrodynamics [29, 30]. Arises question, as to pass from the equations in the integral form (3.12) and (3.13) to the corresponding to equations in the differential form adequate of physical reality by means. The differential form of Maxwell's equations in the idea of Hertz-Heaviside is following system of four of those

corresponding to the integral equations (10) of the differential equations of electrodynamics, which relate to the laboratory frame of reference:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \rho / (\epsilon \epsilon_0); \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0; \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\partial \mathbf{B} / \partial t; \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu \mu_0 \mathbf{j} + (\epsilon \mu / c^2) (\partial \mathbf{E} / \partial t). \quad (3.14)$$

Equations (3.14) traditionally successfully are used in the electrodynamics, but, as it will be shown below, they have essential deficiency – the region of their applicability it is limited by the case of agreeing the laboratory and substantive frame of references ($\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$), i.e. these equations are deprived of the mathematical means of the adequate description of passage from one inertial reference system to another, completely tying process to one (laboratory) frame of reference.

In [29] based on the example of Faraday law is formulated the following approach to the passage from the integral to the differential form of equations electrodynamics: "Faraday law can be written down also in the differential form, if we use ourselves the Stokes' theorem and to consider outline as that being resting in the selected frame of reference (so that \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{B} they would be determined in one and the same frame of reference)". This approach answers the concept of the invariance of physical field relative to the speed of the motion of observer, assuming simple failure of the trans-coordinate equations by means of the application (9). But, rejecting this concept, it is necessary to reject this approach. Thus, the differential form of the corresponding equations must be the same trans-coordinate as integral (3.12), (3.13).

In accordance with the given traditional approach, in [30] is introduced the operation of differentiation with respect to time in the moving (substantive) frame of reference, designated there through $\partial' / \partial t$. In this case it is secretly assumed that at the point of space, which in the substantive frame of reference has a radius-vector \mathbf{r}' , measurement by field

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E}'(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}', t) = -\frac{\partial' \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}', t); \nabla \times \mathbf{B}'(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}', t) = \mu \mu_0 \mathbf{j}'(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}', t) + \frac{\epsilon \mu}{c^2} \frac{\partial' \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}', t), \quad (3.18)$$

where $\partial' \mathbf{E} / \partial t$, $\partial' \mathbf{B} / \partial t$ – the derivatives of Galileo of field functions, expressed as particular time derivatives and convective derivatives of the same field functions in

$$\frac{\partial' \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}', t) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}' + t \mathbf{v} \mathbf{e}_1, t)}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}' + t \mathbf{v} \mathbf{e}_1, t); \quad (3.19)$$

$$\frac{\partial' \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{r}', t) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}' + t \mathbf{v} \mathbf{e}_1, t)}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v} \mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}' + t \mathbf{v} \mathbf{e}_1, t). \quad (3.20)$$

With $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ (3.17)-(3.18) it passes in (3.14). In the particular case the absences of free charges and currents of equation (3.17)-(3.18) will take the form:

variable in the laboratory frame of reference equivalent to its measurement in the same substantive frame of reference. But these measurements are not equivalent out of the concept of the invariance of physical field relative to the speed of the motion of observer. Therefore measurement must be limited by laboratory frame of reference, not perenosya its results for the substantive. Thus, we come to the derivative of Galileo (3.5), of the electrodynamics in the differential form leaving equations globally trans-coordinate.

Unknown globally trans-coordinate differential equations of electrodynamics, which correspond to integral equations (3.12) and which use the Galilean derivative:

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E}' = -\frac{\partial' \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}, \nabla \times \mathbf{B}' = \frac{\epsilon \mu}{c^2} \frac{\partial' \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}. \quad (3.15)$$

They are generalization to the case of the noncoincidence of the laboratory and substantive frame of references ($\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$) of the known differential equations of Maxwell

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}, \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{\epsilon \mu}{c^2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}. \quad (3.16)$$

The differential form of Maxwell's equations in the trans-coordinate idea for the case of isotropic, homogeneous medium without the dispersion is the following system of four new globally trans-coordinate differential equations of the electrodynamics:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\epsilon \epsilon_0}; \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0; \quad (3.17)$$

the laboratory frame of reference by the following equalities:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0; \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0; \tag{3.21}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E}'(v, \mathbf{r}', t) = -\frac{\partial' \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}(v, \mathbf{r}', t); \nabla \times \mathbf{B}'(v, \mathbf{r}', t) = \frac{\varepsilon\mu}{c^2} \frac{\partial' \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}(v, \mathbf{r}', t). \tag{3.22}$$

With $v = 0$ (3.21)-(3.22) it passes into the well-known system of equations of Maxwell:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0; \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0; \nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t}; \nabla \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\varepsilon\mu}{c^2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t}. \tag{3.23}$$

By the vector product of nabla to both parts of the equations (3.16) with their mutual substitution into each other obtains the known wave differential equations

$$c^2 \nabla^2 \mathbf{E} = \varepsilon\mu \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2}, c^2 \nabla^2 \mathbf{B} = \varepsilon\mu \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial t^2} \tag{3.24}$$

The absence of trans-coordinate awn is their drawback, they are valid only in the case of agreeing the laboratory and substantive frame of references ($\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$). It is analogous, i.e., by the vector product of nabla to both parts of the equations (3.15) with their mutual substitution into each other, we will obtain the new equations of electrodynamics – the globally trans-coordinate wave differential equations, which use

Galilean derivative of field functions and generalizing equations (24) in the case $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$:

$$c^2 \nabla'^2 \mathbf{E}' = \varepsilon\mu \frac{\partial'^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t'^2}, c^2 \nabla'^2 \mathbf{B}' = \varepsilon\mu \frac{\partial'^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial t'^2} \tag{3.25}$$

We investigate in more detail the equation of form (3.25) in connection with to arbitrary field functions $\Phi(x, t)$, also, $\Phi'(v, x', t)$ for the case of plane wave with the wave vector, collinear to vector $\mathbf{v} = (v, 0, 0)$ and to axes OX , $O'X'$, coordinates along which are assigned by the variables x , x' . In this case the equation proves to be one-dimensional, and field functions – scalar:

$$c^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \Phi'(v, x', t) = \varepsilon\mu \frac{\partial'^2 \Phi}{\partial t'^2}(v, x', t) = \varepsilon\mu \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \Phi(x' + vt, t) \tag{3.26}$$

If we differentiate in the right side (3.26), this equation of signs the form:

$$\frac{c^2}{\varepsilon\mu} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \Phi'(v, x', t) = \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + 2v \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x} + v^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right) \Phi(x' + vt, t) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^2 \Phi(x' + vt, t) \tag{3.27}$$

With $v = 0$ (3.26) and (3.27) it degenerates into the one-dimensional version of the wave equation of the form (3.24):

$$c^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \Phi(x, t) = \varepsilon\mu \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \Phi(x, t) \tag{3.28}$$

Any solution (3.28) is determined by the proper superposition of the simple harmonic waves

$$\Phi(x, t) = A \cos(\omega t - k_x x + \varphi) \tag{3.29}$$

with the approximate values of the parameters $A \geq 0$, $\omega > 0$, $k_x \neq 0$, $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$ – amplitude, angular frequency, the projection of wave vector on the axis OX and the initial phase of wave. In this case all waves

(3.29) must have one and the same phase speed $\omega/k = c/\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}$, where $k = |k_x|$ – wave number. We will search for function $\Phi'(v, x', t)$, satisfying (3.26)-(3.29), also in the form of simple harmonic wave, but with those depending on v by the parameters $A'(v)$, $\omega'(v)$, $k'_x(v)$, $\varphi'(v)$:

$$\Phi'(v, x', t) = A'(v) \cos(\omega'(v)t - k'_x(v)x' + \varphi'(v)) \tag{3.30}$$

$\Phi'(0, x', t) = \Phi(x', t)$, $A'(0) = A$, $\omega'(0) = \omega$, $k'_x(0) = k_x$, $\varphi'(0) = \varphi$. Let us substitute (3.29)-(3.30) in (3.27):

$$c^2 k_x'^2(v) A'(v) \cos(\omega'(v)t - k_x'(v)x' + \varphi'(v)) = \varepsilon\mu(\omega - k_x v)^2 A \cos(\omega t - k_x(x' + vt) + \varphi) \quad (3.31)$$

Equalizing the similar parameters of wave on the left side (3.31) and in the right, we have:

$$A'(v) = \left(\operatorname{sgn} k_x - \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}}{c} v \right)^2 A, \quad \omega'(v) = |\omega - k_x v| = \left| 1 - \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}}{c} v \operatorname{sgn} k_x \right| \omega, \quad (3.32)$$

$$k_x'(v) = k_x \operatorname{sgn}(\omega - k_x v), \quad k'(v) = |k_x'(v)| = k, \quad \varphi'(v) = \varphi \operatorname{sgn}(\omega - k_x v), \quad |\varphi'(v)| = |\varphi|. \quad (3.33)$$

Thus, upon transfer from the laboratory to the substantive frame of reference change amplitude and frequency (3.32) of simple harmonic wave, and its wave number and module of initial phase (3.33) remain constant. In this case the frequency changes in such a

way that phase wave velocity in the substantive frame of reference is obtained according to the classical summation rule of speeds from its phase speed in the laboratory frame of reference and speed of substantive frame of reference relative to the laboratory:

$$\omega'(v)/k_x'(v) = \omega'(v)/k_x = \omega/k_x - v, \quad \omega'(v)/k'(v) = |\omega/k - v \operatorname{sgn} k_x| = \left| c/\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu} - v \operatorname{sgn} k_x \right|. \quad (3.34)$$

From (3.32)-(3.34) it is evident that if the vector of phase wave velocity in the laboratory frame of reference coincides with the velocity vector of substantive frame of reference in it ($k_x > 0, v = \omega/k$), that in the substantive frame of reference wave generally disappears ($A'(v) = 0$). Thus, in contrast to the theory of relativity, in the theory of giperkontinuuma this wave always can be destroyed by the suitable selection of frame of reference. But if relative to laboratory frame of

reference substantial frame of reference outdistances wave, then upon transfer from the laboratory frame of reference to the substantive the direction of propagation of wave changes by the opposite. If in the laboratory frame of reference wave is propagated in the positive direction, then upon transfer into the substantive it will satisfy wave equation (3.35), while if in the negative, then to the equation (3.36):

$$\left(c/\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu} - v \right)^2 \partial^2 \Phi'(v, x', t) / \partial x'^2 = \partial^2 \Phi'(v, x', t) / \partial t^2; \quad (3.35)$$

$$\left(c/\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu} + v \right)^2 \partial^2 \Phi'(v, x', t) / \partial x'^2 = \partial^2 \Phi'(v, x', t) / \partial t^2 \quad (3.36)$$

The selection of inertial reference system to the role of laboratory is, generally speaking, conditional. Thus, substantial frame of reference it is possible in turn to accept for the laboratory, and in the role of substantial to examine certain by third (twice shtrikhovannuyu) inertial reference system with that directed to the same side, that also $OX, O'X',$ by attitude reference $O''X''$, the coordinate along which is assigned by the variable x'' . Let, for example, the point O'' move in

the positive direction of axis $O'X'$ with the speed Δv . Wave in the new laboratory and substantive frame of references will have an identical wave number and a module of initial phase and will be described by field functions $\Phi'(v, x', t)$ and $\Phi'(v + \Delta v, x'', t)$ respectively. The role of equation (3.28) plays (3.35) or (3.36), the role of the function of wave (3.29) – function (3.30), while the role of equations (3.35), (3.36) – the following wave equations:

$$\left(c/\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu} - (v + \Delta v) \right)^2 \partial^2 \Phi'(v + \Delta v, x'', t) / \partial x''^2 = \partial^2 \Phi'(v + \Delta v, x'', t) / \partial t^2, \quad (3.37)$$

$$\left(c/\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu} + (v + \Delta v) \right)^2 \partial^2 \Phi'(v + \Delta v, x'', t) / \partial x''^2 = \partial^2 \Phi'(v + \Delta v, x'', t) / \partial t^2. \quad (3.38)$$

For (3.37) the role of equalities (3.32), (3.33) play the following transformations of the parameters of the wave:

$$A''(v + \Delta v) = \left(\operatorname{sgn} k'_x(v) - \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu} \cdot \Delta v}{c - \sqrt{\varepsilon\mu} \cdot v} \right)^2 A'(v) \quad \omega''(v + \Delta v) = |\omega'(v) - k'_x(v)\Delta v|, \quad (3.39)$$

$$k''_x(v + \Delta v) = k'_x(v) \operatorname{sgn}(\omega'(v) - k'_x(v)\Delta v), \quad \varphi''(v + \Delta v) = \varphi'(v) \operatorname{sgn}(\omega'(v) - k'_x(v)\Delta v). \quad (3.40)$$

For (3.38) the corresponding (3.39)-(3.40) conversions of the parameters are determined analogously.

Sequential passage from not hatch to hatch and is further to the twice hatch frame of reference equivalent to direct passage from not hatch to twice hatch. For example, with $\operatorname{sgn} k'_x(v) = \operatorname{sgn} k_x = 1$ from (3.32), (3.39) it is possible to obtain

$$A''(v + \Delta v) = \left(1 - \sqrt{\varepsilon\mu} (v + \Delta v)/c \right)^2 A \quad (3.41)$$

which is obtained also upon direct transfer to the twice hatch frame of reference, since (3.41) it is obtained from (3.32) by replacement v on $v + \Delta v$. In this case the role of equation (3.27) plays.

$$\left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}} - v \right)^2 \frac{\partial^2 \Phi'(v + \Delta v, x'', t)}{\partial x''^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \Phi'(v, x'' + \Delta vt, t)}{\partial t^2} + \left(2\Delta v \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x'} + \Delta v^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x'^2} \right) \Phi'(v, x'' + \Delta vt, t) \quad (3.42)$$

For the derivatives of arbitrary n - GO of order $(n = \overline{1, \infty})$, respectively indicating simply derived on $\partial^n \Phi'(v + \Delta v, x'', t)/\partial x''^n$ $\partial^n \Phi'(v, x', t)/\partial x'^n$ it is possible to use a united designation $\partial^n \Phi'(v + \Delta v, x, t)/\partial x^n$ and $\partial^n \Phi'(v, x, t)/\partial x^n$ the second argument. In accordance with this, after substitution (3.35) in (3.42) we will obtain:

$$\left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}} - v \right)^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(\frac{\Phi'(v + \Delta v, x, t) - \Phi'(v, x + \Delta vt, t)}{\Delta v} \right) = \left(2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t \partial x} + \Delta v \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right) \Phi'(v, x + \Delta vt, t) \quad (3.43)$$

Let $\Delta v \rightarrow 0$. Let us introduce one additional new derivative, which let us name trans-coordinate, and which in the case of the one-dimensional system of space coordinates takes the form:

$$\frac{\partial' \Phi'(v, x, t)}{\partial' v} = \lim_{\Delta v \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Phi'(v + \Delta v, x, t) - \Phi'(v, x + \Delta vt, t)}{\Delta v} \quad (3.44)$$

In the determination (3.44) of value $\Phi'(v, x + \Delta vt, t)$ $\Phi'(v + \Delta v, x, t)$ is described physical field at one and the same point of space, but in the different frame of references (hatch and moving relative to it with speed Δv twice hatch respectively). Within the framework they are equal to the concept of the invariance of field relative to the speed of the motion of observer:

$$\Phi'(v, x + \Delta vt, t) = \Phi'(v + \Delta v, x, t), \quad (3.45)$$

The equalities (3.3) (3.45) making identical physical sense, but in connection with to the different pairs of frame of references. However, out of the framework of the indicated concept upon transfer from hatch to the twice hatch frame of reference the field function at the particular point of space experiences the increase, the limit of relation of which $k \Delta v$ with $\Delta v \rightarrow 0$ gives the trans-coordinate derivative (3.44). It is possible to generalize it to the case of the higher orders $(n = \overline{1, \infty})$:

$$\frac{\partial^1 \Phi'(v, x, t)}{\partial v^1} = \frac{\partial' \Phi'(v, x, t)}{\partial' v}; \quad \frac{\partial^{n+1} \Phi'(v, x, t)}{\partial v^{n+1}} = \lim_{\Delta v \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{\partial^n \Phi'(v + \Delta v, x, t)}{\partial v^n} - \frac{\partial^n \Phi'(v, x + \Delta vt, t)}{\partial v^n}}{\Delta v} \quad (3.46)$$

Using trans-coordinate derivatives of the first two orders (3.46), it is possible to represent increase in the field function of in the form corresponding partial summation of series of Taylor:

$$\Phi'(v + \Delta v, x, t) - \Phi'(v, x + \Delta vt, t) \approx \frac{\partial' \Phi'(v, x, t)}{\partial' v} \Delta v + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial'^2 \Phi'(v, x, t)}{\partial' v^2} \Delta v^2 \tag{3.47}$$

Substituting (3.47) in (3.43), equalizing between themselves members with the identical degrees Δv in the left and right sides of the received equality, fixing $\Delta v \rightarrow 0$, taking into account that the

fact that in this case $\Phi'(v, x + \Delta vt, t) \rightarrow \Phi'(v, x, t)$ and by adding equality (3.35) in the new form of record (with the use by variable x instead of x' , we will obtain the following system of three equations:

$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{\epsilon\mu}} - v \right)^2 \frac{\partial^2 \Phi'(v, x, t)}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \Phi'(v, x', t)}{\partial t^2}, \\ \left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{\epsilon\mu}} - v \right)^2 \frac{\partial \partial' \Phi'(v, x, t)}{\partial x \partial' v} = 2 \frac{\partial \Phi'(v, x', t)}{\partial t}, \\ \left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{\epsilon\mu}} - v \right)^2 \frac{\partial'^2 \Phi'(v, x, t)}{\partial' v^2} = 2 \Phi'(v, x', t) \end{cases} \tag{3.48}$$

System of equations (3.48) can be written down in following that indexed on α the form:

$$\left(\left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{\epsilon\mu}} - v \right)^2 \frac{\partial^{2-\alpha} \partial'^\alpha}{\partial x^{2-\alpha} \partial' v^\alpha} - 2^{\text{sgn} \alpha} \frac{\partial^{2-\alpha}}{\partial t^{2-\alpha}} \right) \Phi'(v, x', t) = 0 \quad \alpha = \overline{0, 2} \tag{3.49}$$

or in the operator form

$$\odot \Phi'(v, x', t) = 0, \tag{3.50}$$

Where $\odot = (\odot^\alpha); \odot^\alpha$

$$= \left(\left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{\epsilon\mu}} - v \right)^2 \frac{\partial^{2-\alpha} \partial'^\alpha}{\partial x^{2-\alpha} \partial' v^\alpha} - 2^{\text{sgn} \alpha} \frac{\partial^{2-\alpha}}{\partial t^{2-\alpha}} \right)$$

the suitable version of the one-dimensional (case of one axis of space coordinates) differential operator of Dubrovin, which generalizes d'Alembert's operator \square , who occurs one of his three (zero) components for the laboratory frame of reference, i.e., $\alpha = 0$, $v = 0$. Differential equation (3.49) or (3.50) is the giperkontinual one-dimensional homogeneous wave equation, which generalizes, similar to differential equation (3.26) or (3.27), the known one-dimensional homogeneous wave equation (28). The vital difference between them (3.26)-(3.27) is lies in the fact that the globally trans-coordinate form of giperkontinual wave equation, and (3.49)-(3.50) – by its locally trans-coordinate form. Local trans-coordinateawn means that the equation connects the inertial reference systems, which move relative to each other with the infinitely low speed.

The trans-coordinateawn of giperkontinual wave equations is ensured by the use in them of the suitable derived field functions. Namely, use by Galileo's derivative reports to equation global trans-coordinateawn, and by trans-coordinate derivative – local.

Thus, is proposed the new approach to the development of the mathematical apparatus for electrodynamics in the direction of the more adequate description of passage from one inertial reference system to another on the basis of giperkontinual ideas about the space and in the time due to the introduction into the examination of the globally and locally trans-coordinate equations, which use new Galilean and trans-coordinate derivatives of field functions, and also the new differential operator of Dubrovin, which generalizes d'Alembert's operator. This approach leads to the reformulation of electrodynamics with the passage from the traditional formulation of Hertz-Heaviside to the new trans-coordinate. In this case immediately arise the question about what form they have conversions of electromagnetic field upon transfer from one inertial reference system to another, and will be these conversions the Mende transformation [31].

The convective derivatives of field functions in (19)-(20) can be written down in the form:

$$(\mathbf{ve}_1 \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t) = v(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t))\mathbf{e}_1 - \nabla \times (\mathbf{ve}_1 \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t)) \quad (3.51)$$

$$(\mathbf{ve}_1 \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t) = v(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t))\mathbf{e}_1 - \nabla \times (\mathbf{ve}_1 \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t)) \quad (3.52)$$

We have in view of the first two (3.22) equations taking into account (3.1)-(3.2) :

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t) = 0; \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t) = 0 \quad (3.53)$$

After substituting (3.53) in (3.51)-(3.52), we will obtain equalities for the convective derivatives:

$$(\mathbf{ve}_1 \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t) = -\nabla \times (\mathbf{ve}_1 \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t)); \quad (3.54)$$

$$(\mathbf{ve}_1 \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t) = -\nabla \times (\mathbf{ve}_1 \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t)). \quad (3.55)$$

After substitution (3.54)-(3.55) in (3.19)-(3.20) we take another form of the Galilean derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}(v, \mathbf{r}', t) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t)}{\partial t} - \nabla \times (\mathbf{ve}_1 \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t)); \quad (3.56)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}(v, \mathbf{r}', t) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t)}{\partial t} - \nabla \times (\mathbf{ve}_1 \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t)). \quad (3.57)$$

The substitution of Galilean derivatives (3.56)-(3.57) into the last two equalities (3.22) gives:

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E}'(v, \mathbf{r}', t) = -\partial \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t) / \partial t + \nabla \times (\mathbf{ve}_1 \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t)); \quad (3.58)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}'(v, \mathbf{r}', t) = (\varepsilon\mu / c^2) (\partial \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t) / \partial t - \nabla \times (\mathbf{ve}_1 \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t))). \quad (3.59)$$

After substituting last two equations (3.23) in (3.58)-(3.59), we will obtain:

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E}'(v, \mathbf{r}', t) = \nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t) + \nabla \times (\mathbf{ve}_1 \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t)); \quad (3.60)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B}'(v, \mathbf{r}', t) = \nabla \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t) - (\varepsilon\mu / c^2) \nabla \times (\mathbf{ve}_1 \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t)) \quad (3.61)$$

Let us omit the operation of rotor both parts of the equalities (3.60)-(3.61):

$$\mathbf{E}'(v, \mathbf{r}', t) = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t) + \mathbf{ve}_1 \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t); \quad (3.62)$$

$$\mathbf{B}'(v, \mathbf{r}', t) = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t) - (\varepsilon\mu / c^2) (\mathbf{ve}_1 \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}' + t\mathbf{ve}_1, t)) \quad (3.63)$$

Besides the hatch frame of reference, which moves relative to laboratory with speed v let us introduce also relatively mobile frame of reference – twice shtrikhovannuyu, that moves in the same direction with another speed $v + \Delta v$ relative to laboratory. Thus, the twice shtrikhovannaya frame of reference moves with relatively hatch with speed Δv ,

the so that shtrikhovannuyu frame of reference can be accepted for the new laboratory (relatively fixed), and twice shtrikhovannuyu – for the new substantive.

Equalities (62)-(63) for them let us write down taking into account the replacement of radius-vector \mathbf{r}' on \mathbf{r}'' :

$$\mathbf{E}'(v + \Delta v, \mathbf{r}'', t) = \mathbf{E}'(v, \mathbf{r}'' + t\Delta v\mathbf{e}_1, t) + \Delta v\mathbf{e}_1 \times \mathbf{B}'(v, \mathbf{r}'' + t\Delta v\mathbf{e}_1, t); \quad (3.64)$$

$$\mathbf{B}'(v + \Delta v, \mathbf{r}'', t) = \mathbf{B}'(v, \mathbf{r}'' + t\Delta v\mathbf{e}_1, t) - (\varepsilon\mu / c^2) \Delta v\mathbf{e}_1 \times \mathbf{E}'(v, \mathbf{r}'' + t\Delta v\mathbf{e}_1, t), \quad (3.65)$$

Let us write down equalities (3.64)-(3.65) in the following form:

$$\frac{\mathbf{E}'(v + \Delta v, \mathbf{r}'', t) - \mathbf{E}'(v, \mathbf{r}'' + t\Delta v \mathbf{e}_1, t)}{\Delta v} = \mathbf{e}_1 \times \mathbf{B}'(v, \mathbf{r}'' + t\Delta v \mathbf{e}_1, t); \tag{3.66}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{B}'(v + \Delta v, \mathbf{r}'', t) - \mathbf{B}'(v, \mathbf{r}'' + t\Delta v \mathbf{e}_1, t)}{\Delta v} = -\frac{\varepsilon\mu}{c^2} \mathbf{e}_1 \times \mathbf{E}'(v, \mathbf{r}'' + t\Delta v \mathbf{e}_1, t). \tag{3.67}$$

In (3.66)-(3.67) the values $\mathbf{E}'(v, \mathbf{r}'' + t\Delta v \mathbf{e}_1, t)$, $\mathbf{B}'(v, \mathbf{r}'' + t\Delta v \mathbf{e}_1, t)$, $\mathbf{E}'(v + \Delta v, \mathbf{r}'', t)$, $\mathbf{B}'(v + \Delta v, \mathbf{r}'', t)$ is described the electromagnetic field at one and the same point of space (medium), but in the different

frame of references (hatch and by twice hatch). Within the framework they are equal to the concept of the invariance of field relative to the speed of the motion of observer:

$$\mathbf{E}'(v, \mathbf{r}'' + t\Delta v \mathbf{e}_1, t) = \mathbf{E}'(v + \Delta v, \mathbf{r}'', t), \quad \mathbf{B}'(v, \mathbf{r}'' + t\Delta v \mathbf{e}_1, t) = \mathbf{B}'(v + \Delta v, \mathbf{r}'', t), \tag{3.68}$$

The equalities (9) (68) making identical physical sense, but in connection with to the different pairs of frame of references. However, out of the framework of the indicated concept upon transfer from hatch to the twice hatch frame of reference the field

function at the particular point of space experiences the increase, the limit of relation of which $k \Delta v$ with $\Delta v \rightarrow 0$ gives that for the first time introduced into 27 the trans-coordinate derivative of the field function:

$$\frac{\partial' \mathbf{E}'(v, \mathbf{r}'', t)}{\partial' v} = \lim_{\Delta v \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbf{E}'(v + \Delta v, \mathbf{r}'', t) - \mathbf{E}'(v, \mathbf{r}'' + t\Delta v \mathbf{e}_1, t)}{\Delta v}; \tag{3.69}$$

$$\frac{\partial' \mathbf{B}'(v, \mathbf{r}'', t)}{\partial' v} = \lim_{\Delta v \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathbf{B}'(v + \Delta v, \mathbf{r}'', t) - \mathbf{B}'(v, \mathbf{r}'' + t\Delta v \mathbf{e}_1, t)}{\Delta v}. \tag{3.70}$$

Equalities (3.66)-(3.67) with $\Delta v \rightarrow 0$ taking into account (3.69)-(3.70) after replacement \mathbf{r}'' on \mathbf{r} take the form:

$$\frac{\partial' \mathbf{E}'(v, \mathbf{r}', t)}{\partial' v} = \mathbf{e}_1 \times \mathbf{B}'(v, \mathbf{r}', t); \quad \frac{\partial' \mathbf{B}'(v, \mathbf{r}', t)}{\partial' v} = -\frac{\varepsilon\mu}{c^2} \mathbf{e}_1 \times \mathbf{E}'(v, \mathbf{r}', t). \tag{3.71}$$

If equations (3.22) are the globally trans-coordinate differential equations of electrodynamics for the case of isotropic homogeneous medium without the dispersion in the absence of free charges and currents, then equations (3.71) are the locally trans-coordinate differential equations of electrodynamics for the same case. The locality of trans-coordinate, ensured by use by trans-coordinate derivative, means that the connected by differential equations inertial reference systems (conditionally speaking, shtrikhovannaya and twice shtrikhovannaya) they move relative to each other

with the infinitely low speed Δv . Equations (3.71) form the system, by solving which, it is possible to obtain the conversions of electromagnetic field upon transfer of one inertial reference system into another.

Let us use system of equations (3.71) for obtaining the conversions of electromagnetic field upon transfer from the laboratory frame of reference to the substantive.

Lowering the arguments of functions, let us write down vector products in (3.71) in the form:

$$\mathbf{e}_1 \times \mathbf{B}' = \mathbf{e}_1 \times (B'^1 \mathbf{e}_1 + B'^2 \mathbf{e}_2 + B'^3 \mathbf{e}_3) = B'^2 \mathbf{e}_3 - B'^3 \mathbf{e}_2; \tag{3.72}$$

$$\mathbf{e}_1 \times \mathbf{E}' = \mathbf{e}_1 \times (E'^1 \mathbf{e}_1 + E'^2 \mathbf{e}_2 + E'^3 \mathbf{e}_3) = E'^2 \mathbf{e}_3 - E'^3 \mathbf{e}_2. \tag{3.73}$$

Taking into account (3.72)-(3.73) the system of equations (3.71) is divided off into two independent

systems of two equations each and two additional independent equations:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial' E'^2}{\partial' v} = -B'^3, & \frac{\partial' E'^3}{\partial' v} = B'^2, & \frac{\partial' E'^1}{\partial' v} = 0; \frac{\partial' B'^1}{\partial' v} = 0. \\ \frac{\partial' B'^3}{\partial' v} = -\frac{\epsilon\mu}{c^2} E'^2; & \frac{\partial' B'^2}{\partial' v} = \frac{\epsilon\mu}{c^2} E'^3; \end{cases} \quad (3.74)$$

We differentiate the first equations of systems (3.74) and will substitute them the secondly:

$$\frac{\partial'^2 E'^2}{\partial' v^2} = \frac{\epsilon\mu}{c^2} E'^2; \quad \frac{\partial'^2 E'^3}{\partial' v^2} = \frac{\epsilon\mu}{c^2} E'^3; \quad \frac{\partial'^2 B'^2}{\partial' v^2} = \frac{\epsilon\mu}{c^2} B'^2; \quad \frac{\partial'^2 B'^3}{\partial' v^2} = \frac{\epsilon\mu}{c^2} B'^3. \quad (3.75)$$

The general solution of equations (3.75) is expressed as the arbitrary constants C_1, \dots, C_{10} :

$$E'^1 = C_1; \quad E'^2 = C_2 \cosh \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon\mu}v}{c} + C_3 \sinh \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon\mu}v}{c}; \quad E'^3 = C_4 \cosh \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon\mu}v}{c} + C_5 \sinh \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon\mu}v}{c}; \quad (3.76)$$

$$B'^1 = C_6; \quad B'^2 = C_7 \cosh \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon\mu}v}{c} + C_8 \sinh \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon\mu}v}{c}; \quad B'^3 = C_9 \cosh \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon\mu}v}{c} + C_{10} \sinh \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon\mu}v}{c}. \quad (3.77)$$

Since we search for the conversions of electromagnetic field upon transfer from the laboratory frame of reference, then the desired particular solutions of equations (3.75) must with $v = 0$ describe electromagnetic field in the laboratory frame of reference, i.e., satisfy equalities (8) and (74), and the, which means, following totality of the equalities:

$$E'^1(0, \mathbf{r}', t) = E^1(\mathbf{r}', t); \quad E'^2(0, \mathbf{r}', t) = E^2(\mathbf{r}', t); \quad E'^3(0, \mathbf{r}', t) = E^3(\mathbf{r}', t); \quad (3.78)$$

$$B'^1(0, \mathbf{r}', t) = B^1(\mathbf{r}', t); \quad B'^2(0, \mathbf{r}', t) = B^2(\mathbf{r}', t); \quad B'^3(0, \mathbf{r}', t) = B^3(\mathbf{r}', t); \quad (3.79)$$

$$\frac{\partial' E'^2(0, \mathbf{r}', t)}{\partial' v} = -B^3(\mathbf{r}', t); \quad \frac{\partial' E'^3(0, \mathbf{r}', t)}{\partial' v} = B^2(\mathbf{r}', t); \quad (3.80)$$

$$\frac{\partial' B'^2(0, \mathbf{r}', t)}{\partial' v} = \frac{\epsilon\mu}{c^2} E^3(\mathbf{r}', t); \quad \frac{\partial' B'^3(0, \mathbf{r}', t)}{\partial' v} = -\frac{\epsilon\mu}{c^2} E^2(\mathbf{r}', t). \quad (3.81)$$

By substitution (3.76)-(3.77) in (3.78)-(3.81) let us find the values of constants C_1, \dots, C_{10} , as a result what after the substitution of these constants in (3.76)-(3.77) we will obtain the resultant expression in the component form for the desired conversions of electromagnetic field upon transfer from the laboratory frame of reference to the substantive:

$$E'^1(v, \mathbf{r}', t) = E^1(\mathbf{r}', t); \quad B'^1(v, \mathbf{r}', t) = B^1(\mathbf{r}', t); \quad (3.82)$$

$$E'^2(v, \mathbf{r}', t) = E^2(\mathbf{r}', t) \cosh \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon\mu}v}{c} - \frac{c}{\sqrt{\epsilon\mu}} B^3(\mathbf{r}', t) \sinh \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon\mu}v}{c}; \quad (3.83)$$

$$E'^3(v, \mathbf{r}', t) = E^3(\mathbf{r}', t) \cosh \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon\mu}v}{c} + \frac{c}{\sqrt{\epsilon\mu}} B^2(\mathbf{r}', t) \sinh \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon\mu}v}{c}; \quad (3.84)$$

$$B'^2(v, \mathbf{r}', t) = B^2(\mathbf{r}', t) \cosh \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}v}{c} + \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}}{c} E^3(\mathbf{r}', t) \sinh \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}v}{c}; \quad (3.85)$$

$$B'^3(v, \mathbf{r}', t) = B^3(\mathbf{r}', t) \cosh \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}v}{c} - \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}}{c} E^2(\mathbf{r}', t) \sinh \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}v}{c}. \quad (3.86)$$

In the vector form the same conversions take the following form:

$$\mathbf{E}'(v, \mathbf{r}', t) = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}', t) \cosh \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}v}{c} + \frac{c}{\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}} \mathbf{e}_1 \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}', t) \sinh \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}v}{c}; \quad (3.87)$$

$$\mathbf{B}'(v, \mathbf{r}', t) = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}', t) \cosh \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}v}{c} - \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}}{c} \mathbf{e}_1 \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}', t) \sinh \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}v}{c}. \quad (3.88)$$

It is easy to see that the conversions (3.82)-(3.88) are known Mende transformation.

IV. CONCLUSION

Thus, the Mende transformation obtain a sufficient theoretical substantiation within the framework of the trans-coordinate formulation of electrodynamics, connected with the giperkontinual ideas about the space and the time, and also with the concept not of the invariance of electric charge relative to the speed of the motion of observer. Together with that been in [26] direct experimental confirmation of the concept not of the invariance of electric charge, this is convincing evidence of their larger adequacy of physical reality on the comparison not only with the classical, but also with the relativistic conversions of electromagnetic field, or the convincing evidence of the justification of the transfer of electrodynamics from the traditional formulation of Hertz-Heaviside to the the trans-coordinate. The sequential development of trans-coordinate electrodynamics is capable of not only deriving on the new qualitative level of idea about the space and the time, but also of opening the fundamentally new horizons of the development engineering and technologies due to the discovery and the mastery of new physical phenomena and effects.

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

1. Джемс Клерк Максвелл. Избранные сочинения по теории электрического поля, Государственное издательство технико-теоретической литературы, Москва, 1954.
2. А. М. Ампер. Электродинамика, Издательство Академии наук СССР, 1954.
3. М. Фарадей. Избранные работы по электричеству. Государственное объединённое научно-техническое издательство редакция научно-технической литературы, Москва - Ленинград, 1939.
4. Н. Hertz. Uber die Beziehungen zwischen den Maxwell'schen electro-electrodynamik. Ann. Phys. Bd. 25, 1884, s. 84–103.
5. О. Heaviside. Electrical Papers. London, 1892, p. 429–451.
6. Oliver Heaviside, On the Electromagnetic Effects due to the Motion of Electrification through a Dielectric, Philosophical Magazine, 1889, 5 27 (167): 324-339.
7. П. К. Рашевский. Риманова геометрия и тензорный анализ. М.: Наука, 1967, - 664 - с.
8. С. Маринов (Marinov S.) Физическая мысль России 1. 1995. С. 52 – 77.
9. F. F. Mende. Mende Interferometer: From the Experimental Refutation of the Lorentz Transformations and the Principles of the Invariance of the Speed of Light to New Prospects for the Development of Passive Radar, GJSFR (2017), Volume 17, Issue 5, Version 1.
10. Ф.Ф. Менде. К вопросу об уточнении уравнений электромагнитной индукции. Харьков, депонирована в ВИНТИ, №774-В88 Деп., 1988, 32с.
11. Ф. Ф. Менде. Существуют ли ошибки в современной физике. Монография, Харьков, Константа, 2003.- 72 с. ISBN – 966-7983-55-2.
12. F. F. Mende. On refinement of certain laws of classical electrodynamics, arXiv, physics/0402084.
13. F. F. Mende. Conception of the scalar-vector potential in contemporary electrodynamics, arXiv.org/abs/physics/0506083

14. Ф. Ф. Менде. Непротиворечивая электродинамика. Монография, Харьков, НТМТ, 2008, – 153 с. ISBN 978-966-8603-23-5
15. Ф. Ф. Менде. Великие заблуждения и ошибки физиков XIX-XX столетий. Революция в современной физике. Монография, Харьков, НТМТ, 2010, – 176 с. ISBN 978-617-578-010-7.
16. Ф. Ф. Менде. Новая электродинамика. Революция в современной физике. Монография, Харьков, НТМТ, 2012, – 172 с. ISBN 978-617-578-029-8
17. F. F. Mende, Concept of Scalar-Vector Potential in the Contemporary Electrodynamics, Problem of Homopolar Induction and Its Solution. International Journal of Physics, 2014, Vol. 2, No. 6, p. 202-210. URL:<http://pubs.sciepub.com/ijp/2/6/4>
18. F. F. Mende. Symmetrization and the Modification of the Equations of Induction and Material Equations of Maxwell. AASCIT Journal of Physics, 2015, Vol.1, No. 3, p. 171-179. URL:<http://www.aascit.org/journal/archive2?journalId=977&paperId=2196>
19. Ф. Ф. Менде, А. С.Дубровин. Альтернативная идеология электродинамики. Монография. М.: Перо, 2016. – 198 с. ISBN 978-5-906927-22-4
20. F. F. Mende, A. S.Dubrovin. Alternative ideology of electrodynamics. Monograph. М.: Перо, 2016. - 216 p. ISBN 978-5-906927-23-1.
21. F. F. Mende. Transversal plasma resonance in a nonmagnetized plasma and possibilities of practical employment of it. arXiv.org/abs/physics/0506081.
22. А.С.Дубровин,Ф. Ф. Менде. От электродинамики Герца-Хевисайда к транскоординатной электродинамике. Инженерная физика, №4, 2017, с. 19-33.<http://infiz.tgizd.ru/ru/arhiv/16243>
23. А.А. Логунов. Лекции по теории относительности и гравитации: Современный анализ проблемы. М.: Наука, 1987. 272 с.
24. JKocik. Relativistic observer and Maxwell's equations: an example of a non-principal Ehresmann connection. Preprint P-98-10-029, Department of Physics, UIUC, Urbana, IL61801, 1998.
25. В. Босс. Уравнения математической физики. М.: ЛИБРОКОМ, 2009. 224 с. естественнонаучных парадигм // Современные проблемы науки и образования. 2015. № 1; URL: www.science-education.ru/121-18620.
26. F. F. Mende. Mechanical and Thermal Electrization Metal, Dielectrics and Plasma // International Journal of Modern Physics and Application. 2015. Vol. 2. № 6. P. 73-99.
27. А.С.Дубровин. Транскоординатная электродинамика в пространственно-временном гиперконтинууме. Международный журнал прикладных и фундаментальных исследований. 2015. № 12. С. 34-41.
28. А.С. Дубровин. Алгебраические свойства функций одномерных синусоидальных волн и пространство-время // Вестник Воронежского государственного университета. Сер. Физика. Математика. 2013. № 1. С. 5-19.
29. Джексон Дж. Классическая электродинамика. М.: Мир, 1965. 703 с.
30. К. Шимони. Теоретическая электротехника. М.: Мир, 1964. 775 с.
31. А.С. Дубровин. Преобразования Менде в транскоординатной электродинамике. Международный журнал прикладных и фундаментальных исследований. 2015. № 12. С. 1006-1012.